Cheatography

AP US GOV - SCOTUS Cheat Sheet by vanhorn.hs via cheatography.com/198682/cs/42069/

Shaw v. Reno (1993)		Wisconsir	n v Yoder (cont)	Enge
Facts	After the 1990 census, North Carolina qualified to have a 12th district and drew it in a distinct	Decision	By a unaminous decision, it was decided that school cannot overide freedom of religion.	Fact
Decision	snake-like manner in order to create a Black majority district. 5 North Carolina residents challenged the constitutionality of the district arguing it was racial gerrymandering and violated the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment. NC reapportionment plan was unconstitutional. The proposed district was so odd that there was no compelling explanation for its shape other than separating voters by race. Although district plans may take racial considerations into account, race cannot be the predominant factor in drawing districts.	Key Principle	1A free exercise of religion	
		McDonald	l v Chicago 2010	
		Facts	Had hunting guns but wanted a hand gun because crime was bad in his neighborhood and he	Deci
			had been robbed five times. Hunting guns were ineffective for self defense, but hand guns were. Due to Chicago gun laws he could not get one so he sued Chicago.	Key Princ Tink Fact
		Ruling	The supreme court sided with McDonald, and stated that the 14th amendment makes the second amendment applicable to the states.	
Key Principle	Equal Protection	Principal	a2 right to bare arms is applicable to the States.	Deci
		Baker v C	arr	

Wisconsin v Yoder

Facts Three Amish families from Wisconsin took their kids out of school after 8th grade. This broke the compulsory education law because kids have to go to school until they are 16 years old. Yoder and other parents sued claiming that Wisconsin violated their free exercise of religion.

Facts	The city population has grown a
	lot but the rural areas were very
	sparse. Baker sued Tennessee
	secretary of state for more equa
	representation.
Ruling	The Supreme Court has jurisd- iction over questions of legisl- ative apportionment.
Principle	14th amendment equal protection clause.

Engel v. Vitale (1962)

Facts	New York State Board of
	Regents authorized a short,
	voluntary prayer at the start of
	each school day. A group of
	organizations challenged the
	prayer claiming it violated the
	Establishment Clause.
Decision	State cannot hold prayers in public schools, even if partic- ipation is not required.
Key Principle	Establishment Clause of 1A

Tinker v Des MoinesFactsStudents from the Tinker family
wore arm bands to protest
aggainst the Vietnam War, but
were suspended due to it being
political. The parents sued and
claimed that it was a violation of
1A freedom of Speach.DecisionThe court aggreed that it was a
violation of 1A rights. They
created substantial disruption
tests for future decisisons.

Key	1A freedom of speech
Principle	

Schenck vs United States

Facts	During WW1, Schenck distributed
	leaflets claiming that the draft
	violates the third amendment, and
	he encourage people to refuse to
	the draft. This caused him to break
	the espionage Act of 1917. He
	believed his first amendment rights
	were violated.

Sponsored by **Readable.com** Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

By vanhorn.hs
cheatography.com/vanhorn
hs/

Not published yet. Last updated 19th January, 2024. Page 1 of 2.

Cheatography

AP US GOV - SCOTUS Cheat Sheet by vanhorn.hs via cheatography.com/198682/cs/42069/

Schenck vs United States (cont)		
Decision	Schenck's rights were not violated because the first amendment does not protect speech that leads to present danger. Unanimous vote	
Principle	1a freedom of speech	
Gideon v \	Noinurito	
Facts	In Florida, the only time they gave the defendant a lawyer was when they commited a capital offense. So he did not get a lawyer and was put into jail. So he appealed because his sixth amendment rights are violated (right to an attorny.	
Ruling	unaminous decision that Gideon should of had a lawyer	
Principle	a6 right to attourney.	
US v Lope	ez	
Facts	Lopez brought a gun to school and was charged for his crime. Then the crime was charged federally. They challanged that because bringing a gun to school has nothing to do with the commerce clause.	
Ruling	Possesion of a gun at school is in no sense an economic activity that affects inter state	

Principle Commerce clause, federalism.

commerce. Federal Government had over stepped its bounds.

Marbury v. Madison

Facts	At the end of President John
	Adams' term, Marbury did not
	receive docs to finalize his
	commission as Justice of the
	Peace. President Thomas
	Jefferson's new administration
	refused to deliver the docs.
	Marbury sued Madison (the Sec
	of State) and asked the
	Supreme Court to issue a writ to
	force delivery.
Decision	The Court found that Madison's
	refusal to deliver the
	commission was illegal, but did
	not force Madison to deliver
	docs. Instead, the Court held
	that the provision of the
	Judiciary Act of 1789 enabling
	Marbury to bring his claim to the
	Supreme Court was itself
	unconstitutional. Marshall
	established the principle of
	judicial review - the power to

Key Judicial Review Principle

declare a law unconstitutional.

New York Times v US

Facts	NY Times published pentagon papers which exposed government lies regarding the
	Vietnam War. Nixon try to bar further publication based on prior restraint.
Decision	6-3. Government could not use prior restraint because it was unconstitutional use of using it.
Principle	1a freedom of press

Brown v board of ed.

Facts	Challenged separate but equal in schools.
Decision	Separate but equal facilities are unequal.
Principal	A14 equal protection clause

McCullough v Maryland

Facts	US Government set up a national bank, which made the state of Maryland mad because Maryland banks now must compete against the national bank. So they put a big tax on the National bank. McCullough, the bank cashier, refused to pay the tax and was fined for 2500 dollars. He appealed
Ruling	US can put the bank in Maryland, and states cannot tax national government.
Principle	Necessary and proper clause, supremacy clause.

Citizens United v FEC

Facts	Citizens United sued because a movie against Hillary Clinton could not be released due to Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (BCRA). So they sued to change this.
Ruling	It was unconstitutional because it violated the people's First amendment right. Applied bill of rights to a corporation
Principle	1a freedom of speach,

C

By **vanhorn.hs** cheatography.com/vanhornhs/

Not published yet. Last updated 19th January, 2024. Page 2 of 2. Sponsored by **Readable.com** Measure your website readability! https://readable.com