Cheatography

AP US GOV - SCOTUS Cheat Sheet by vanhorn.hs via cheatography.com/198682/cs/42069/

Shaw v. F	Reno (1993)	Wisconsin	n v Yoder (cont)	Engel v. V	/itale (1962)
	After the 1990 census, North Carolina qualified to have a 12th district and drew it in a distinct snake-like manner in order to create a Black majority district. 5 North Carolina residents challenged the constitutionality of the district arguing it was racial gerrymandering and violated the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment.	Decision Key Principle McDonald	By a unaminous decision, it was decided that school cannot overide freedom of religion. 1A free exercise of religion	Facts	New York State Board of Regents authorized a short, voluntary prayer at the start of each school day. A group of organizations challenged the prayer claiming it violated the Establishment Clause.
		ing it was ring and protectionFactsHad hunting guns but wanted a hand gun because crime was bad in his neighborhood and he had been robbed five times. Hunting guns were ineffective for self defense, but hand guns were. Due to Chicago gun laws he could not get one so he sued than 	Had hunting guns but wanted a hand gun because crime was bad in his neighborhood and he had been robbed five times. Hunting guns were ineffective for self defense, but hand guns were. Due to Chicago gun laws he could not get one so he sued	Decision	State cannot hold prayers in public schools, even if partic- ipation is not required.
Decision	NC reapportionment plan was unconstitutional. The proposed district was so odd that there was no compelling explanation for its shape other than				Establishment Clause of 1A
				Tinker v Des Moines	
				Facts	Students from the Tinker family wore arm bands to protest
	separating voters by race. Although district plans may take racial considerations into account, race cannot be the predominant factor in drawing districts.		aggainst the Vietnam War, but were suspended due to it being political. The parents sued and claimed that it was a violation o 1A freedom of Speach.		
Key Principle	Equal Protection	Principal	a2 right to bare arms is applicable to the States.	Decision	The court aggreed that it was a violation of 1A rights. They created substantial disruption
Wisconsir	n v Yoder	Baker v C	arr		tests for future decisisons.

Facts	Three Amish families from
	Wisconsin took their kids out of
	school after 8th grade. This broke
	the compulsory education law
	because kids have to go to school
	until they are 16 years old. Yoder
	and other parents sued claiming
	that Wisconsin violated their free
	exercise of religion.

Baker v C	arr
Facts	The city population has grown a lot but the rural areas were very sparse. Baker sued Tennessee secretary of state for more equal representation.
Ruling	The Supreme Court has jurisd- iction over questions of legisl- ative apportionment.
Principle	14th amendment equal protection clause.

By vanhorn.hs cheatography.com/vanhornhs/

Not published yet. Last updated 19th January, 2024. Page 1 of 2.

Facts	Students from the Tinker family wore arm bands to protest aggainst the Vietnam War, but were suspended due to it being political. The parents sued and claimed that it was a violation of
Decision	1A freedom of Speach. The court aggreed that it was a violation of 1A rights. They created substantial disruption tests for future decisisons.
Key Principle	1A freedom of speech

Schenck vs United States

F

During WW1, Schenck distributed
leaflets claiming that the draft
violates the third amendment, and
he encourage people to refuse to
the draft. This caused him to break
the espionage Act of 1917. He
believed his first amendment rights
were violated.

Sponsored by ApolloPad.com Everyone has a novel in them. Finish Yours!

https://apollopad.com

Cheatography

AP US GOV - SCOTUS Cheat Sheet by vanhorn.hs via cheatography.com/198682/cs/42069/

Schenck v	s United States (cont)	Marbury v	. Madison	Brown v b	oard of ed.
Decision	Schenck's rights were not violated because the first amendment does not protect speech that leads to present danger. Unanimous vote	Facts	At the end of President John Adams' term, Marbury did not receive docs to finalize his commission as Justice of the Peace. President Thomas Jefferson's new administration	Facts Decision	Challenged separate but equ in schools. Separate but equal facilities unequal.
Principle	1a freedom of speech			Principal	A14 equal protection clause
Gideon v Wainwrite			refused to deliver the docs. Marbury sued Madison (the Sec	McCullou	gh v Maryland
Facts			of State) and asked the Supreme Court to issue a writ to force delivery.	Facts	US Government set up a national bank, which made th state of Maryland mad becau Maryland banks now must compete against the national bank. So they put a big tax of the National bank. McCulloug the bank cashier, refused to p the tax and was fined for 250
		Decision	The Court found that Madison's refusal to deliver the commission was illegal, but did not force Madison to deliver docs. Instead, the Court held that the provision of the Judiciary Act of 1789 enabling Marbury to bring his claim to the Supreme Court was itself		
Ruling	unaminous decision that Gideon should of had a lawyer			Ruling	dollars. He appealed US can put the bank in
Principle	a6 right to attourney.			runng	Maryland, and states canno national government.
US v Lopez			unconstitutional. Marshall established the principle of	Principle	Necessary and proper claus
Facts	Lopez brought a gun to school		judicial review - the power to		supremacy clause.
	and was charged for his crime. Then the crime was charged	Key	declare a law unconstitutional. Judicial Review	Citizens U	Inited v FEC
	federally. They challanged that because bringing a gun to school has nothing to do with the commerce clause.	Principle New York	Times v US	Facts	Citizens United sued becaus movie against Hillary Clintor could not be released due to Bipartisan Campaign Reform
Ruling	Possesion of a gun at school is in no sense an economic activity that affects inter state commerce. Federal Government had over stepped its bounds.	Facts	NY Times published pentagon papers which exposed government lies regarding the Vietnam War. Nixon try to bar further publication based on prior restraint.		Act (BCRA). So they sued to change this.
				Ruling	It was unconstitutional beca it violated the people's First amendment right. Applied b
Principle	Commerce clause, federalism.	Decision	6-3. Government could not use		rights to a corporation
			prior restraint because it was unconstitutional use of using it.	Principle	1a freedom of speach,

By vanhorn.hs cheatography.com/vanhornhs/

Not published yet. Last updated 19th January, 2024. Page 2 of 2.

1a freedom of press

Principle

Sponsored by ApolloPad.com Everyone has a novel in them. Finish Yours! https://apollopad.com