Cheatography

PHI1600: Basics Cheat Sheet by rdechant via cheatography.com/34738/cs/10899/

Logic

·Definition: [definition]

·Goal: [definition]

·Purpose: [definition]

Arguments, etc.

·Argument: [definition]

·Premises: [definition]

·Conclusion: [definition]

Reasoning

·Inference: [definition]

·Style: [definition]

•Efficacy: [definition]

·Justification: [definition]

·Rationality: [definition]

•Rational: [definition] •Irrational: [definition]

Statements

Content: [definition]

·Force: [definition]

·Expression: [definition]

Styles of Inference

Deductive:

Inductive:

Deduction

Induction

Dimensions of Reasoning

Inferential: the varying inferential relations premises and conclusions stand in when connected together via reasoning

•Representational: the varying degrees of accuracy statements exhibit when connected with reality via assertion and belief

By rdechant

cheatography.com/rdechant/

Norms of Reasoning

 Rationality: norm for evaluating the

 inferential dimension of arguments

 Rational: premises successfully justify the

 inferred conclusion

 [positive inferential "value"]

 Irrational: premises fail to justify the

 inferred conclusion

 [negative inferential "value"]

Accuracy: norm for evaluating the representational dimension of arguments

True: *positive* inferential "value" Irrational: *negative* ver "value"

Inaccurate: statement successfully

[positive representational "value"]

False: statement fails to veridically represent the actual facts

[negative representational "value"]

Recognizing Statements

1. Indicator Words

2. Common Types of Non-Statement

- · Commands
- Proposals
- Requests

Recognizing Arguments

- 1. Indicator Words
- 2. Logical Order
- 3. Background Context
- 4. Common Types of Argument
- 5. Common Types of Non-Argument

Assessing Validty

Form & Substitution

Not published yet. Last updated 15th February, 2017. Page 1 of 1.

Assessing Validity, Pt. 2

Conditional Statements

Common Non-Arguments

·[definition]

- · Advice
- · Assertion
- Description
- Explanation
- \cdot Exposition
- · Illustraction
- · Reporting
- Quotation
- Warning

Validity vs. Strength: Similarities

1. Both depend on whether the truth-conditions of the premises and the truth-conditions of the conclusion are correctly related.

2. Neither depend on the actual true-value of the premises or the conclusion.

Validity vs. Strength: Differences

1. Only deductive inferences can be valid/invalid, and only inductive inferences can be strong/weak.

2. When the premises in a valid argument are all true, it's *impossible* the conclusion is false. When the premises in a strong argument are all true, it's only *improbable* the conclusion is false.

3. Validity is all-or-nothing, but strength is a matter of degree.

1.

For strong arguments, when

it is still For strong arguments, even if the premises are true, the conclusion can s9ll be false.

Sponsored by **Readability-Score.com** Measure your website readability! https://readability-score.com