Chapter 6 Excuse Defenses Cheat Sheet by MSingleton via cheatography.com/194889/cs/45765/

Key Cases

	<u> </u>			
Ethan	Ethan Couch was 16 when he			
Couch	and a group of friends in 2013			
used	stole beer from a store and had a			
the	party at his parents' house before			
"afflu-	going for a drive. He struck and			
enza"	killed 4 people on the side of the			
(??!)	road and a passenger in his car			
defense	was paralyzed and suffered brain			
– term	damage. Couch's BAC was 0.24,			
used by	three times the legal limit in TX.			
а	He pled guilty to 4 counts of			
psycho-	manslaughter and a juv ct judge			
logist	sentenced him to 10 years of			
	probation, defying prosecutors			
	who wanted a 20-year prison			
	sentence. Victims were outraged			
	and critics felt he got special			
	treatment because of his wealth.			
	In 2015 a 6-second video on			
	social media appeared to show			
	him at a party where alcohol was			
	served, a parole violation. Two			
	weeks later he and his mother			
	went missing. They were			
	arrested 2 weeks later about			
	1200 miles away in Puerto			
	Vallarta, Mexico, where they had			
	changed their appearances and			
	ditched their identifications. He			
	was returned to the US and his			
	case was moved to adult court.			
	He served a 720-day sentence in			
	a TX jail. A psychology expert			
	testified for the defense (presu-			
	mably at the sentencing) that he			
	suffered from "affluenza"			
	meaning he grew up in a wealthy			
	family that may have left him			
	with psychological afflictions			
	where he was too rich to tell right			
	from wrong. (This is a made-up			
	word by the psychologist and the			
	defense atty.) This case was			
	heavily covered in the news.			

Key Cases	(cont)
Montana	James Egelhoff was tried in
٧.	Montana courts for 2 counts of
Egelhoff,	homicide. Egelhoff claimed that
116	extreme intoxication rendered
S.Ct.	him physically incapable of
2013	committing or recalling the
(1996)	crimes. Montana state law did
	not allow Egelhoff's intoxicated
	condition to be considered by
	the jury as exonerating him for
	the crime. Jury conviction by a
	Montana trial court. Montana
	SC reversed saying D had a DP
	right to present all relevant
	evidence in his defense. The
	court could not reach a majority
	on the reasons for its decision
	(this is not clear in the excerpt
	in your book). J Antonin Scalia
	who announced the judgement
	of the court, declared that Ds do
	not have an absolute constitut-
	ional right to present all relevant
	evidence in their defense. Here,
	he notes that "it was firmly
	established at common law that
	a D's voluntary intoxication
	provided neither an "excuse"
	nor a "justification" for his
	crimes; the common law's stern
	rejection of inebriation as a
	defense must be understood as
	also precluding a defendant
	from arguing that, because of

his intoxication, he could not

have possessed the mens rea

necessary to commit the crime."

Key Cases (cont)

Madera	Questionable CI. D pled no			
٧.	contest (Ct denied him rt to put			
State,	on entrapment defense).			
943	Appellate Court Reversed. The			
So.2d	govt conduct entrapped the D as			
960	a matter of law. Subjective theory			
(Fla.A-	of entrapment requires a predis-			
pp.4th	position to commit a crime which			
Dist.	will defeat the affirmative defense			
2006)	of entrapment (not applicable			
	here b/c there was no showing of			
	predisposition). Objective theory			
	of entrapment only requires			
	outrageous govt action and a			
	violation of DP. There would			
	have been no crime without the			
	Cl's prodding and improper			
	conduct. CI manufactured crime,			
	did NOT detect it			

By MSingleton

Not published yet. Last updated 25th February, 2025. Page 1 of 6.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

Chapter 6 Excuse Defenses Cheat Sheet by MSingleton via cheatography.com/194889/cs/45765/

Key Cases (cont)

Gypsy
Rose
Blanchard

Case

Gypsy Rose Blanchard was taken by her mother, Dee Dee Blanchard, to countless doctors throughout her

childhood and was prescribed medications, surgeries, a wheelchair and oxygen tank, was forced to eat through a feeding tube and her head was shaved even though she did not require any these medical interventions. She was home schooled and separated from others and under her mother's care until she was in her 20's. It is believed that Dee Dee suffered from Munchausen syndrome by proxy (medical child abuse) which is both a mental health diagnosis and a crime. Gypsy pled guilty to second degree murder in 2016 of killing her mother and was released from prison on 12/28/2023. Her boyfriend, who committed the killing, was founds guilty of murder after attempting a diminished capacity defense. The crime is child abuse/neglect, attempted murder, among others, but the theory of the case is Munchausen by Proxy charge.

Key Cases (cont)

Rey Cases (co	(iii.)
State v. Montgomery in Charlotte, 2007	Montgomery killed Officers Clark and Shelton when they were responding to a call at the Timber Ridge apartment complex in 2007. Both officers were shot at close range by Montgomery while walking away from the apt. Death penalty was taken off the table because a CMPD detective "misha- ndled" his notes. Judge handling the case, Forrest Bridges, is a UNC Charlotte (UNC CH Law) grad. Judge who ruled on the competency hearing, Albert Diaz, is now on the federal bench. Jury returned a verdict of two life sentences (one for each officer) in 2010. Demeatrius Montgo- mery's Competency Motion and Resulting Order: Order sets out facts. Competency evaluation at Dorthea Dix hospital in Raleigh. Forensic case specialist. D on antips- ychotic meds while there. Conclusion: "selective and voluntary mutism". Another
	competency hearing, Albert
	•
	(one for each officer) in
	mery's Competency Motion
	and Resulting Order: Order
	sets out facts. Competency
	evaluation at Dorthea Dix
	hospital in Raleigh. Forensic
	Psychiatrist saw him 25
	times, assisted by forensic
	case specialist. D on antips-
	ychotic meds while there.
	forensic psychiatrist saw
	him while he was at Dix
	Hospital – 7 jail visits with
	him. Conclusion: paranoid
	schizophrenia (observations
	related by family members).
	Another expert in forensic
	psychology testified that D
	suffers from a psychotic
	illness. Last expert in
	forensic psychiatry testifies
	D may suffer from paranoid
	schizophrenia but that he is

"selectively mute". NCGS section 15A-1001(a)(2007). No evidence that D is unable to understand the nature of the proceedings. Issue is his mental illness

Vocabulary	
battered woman's syndrome (BWS)	any woman 18 years of age or older, who is or has been in an intimate relationship with a man who repeatedly subjects or subjected ehr to forceful physical and/or psychological abuse.
competent to stand trial	a finding by the court that the defendant has sufficient present ability to consult w/ his/her attorney, and the defendant understands the nature of the proceedings against him/her.
diminished capacity	a defense based on claims of a mental condition that may be insufficient to exonerate a defendant of guilt but that may be relevant to specific mental elements of certain crimes or degrees of crime. Also called <i>diminished responsibility</i> .

but D "has failed to satisfy his burden" on the key questions of whether he suffers from a mental illness and if so whether the illness renders him unable to assist in his defense. udge cites D's behavior showing he understands what's going on: good hygiene and grooming habits, cooperative in taking meds, interaction with family on phone. Judge cites D's "abuse of marijuana laced with formaldehyde" as causing psychosis and his "disorganized thinking" in letters to home. Bottom line of the Court's Order: "I believe it plausible that the D can assist in his defense in a rational or reasonable manner but is simply choosing not to." "It is not the State's burden to prove that D is competent; rather, the burden lies with the D to show otherwise." Legal presumption that all Ds who are charged with a crime are competent to stand trial.



By MSingleton

Not published yet. Last updated 25th February, 2025. Page 2 of 6. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

cheatography.com/msingleton/

Chapter 6 Excuse Defenses Cheat Sheet by MSingleton via cheatography.com/194889/cs/45765/

Vocabulary (cont)		Vocabulary (cont)		Vocabulary (cont)	
DSM-IV	DSM-IV the 4th edition of the <i>Diagnostic</i> and Statistical Manual of Mental <i>Disorders</i> , published by the American Psychiatric Associati- on.vi The DSM-IV lists 12 major categories of mental disorders, but does not set out " <i>insanity</i> ". Mental illness may explain a		an affirmative defense in which a defendant alleges that a law enforcement agent or agent of the state acquired the evidence necessary to commence prosecution of the defendant by inducing the defendant to engage in a	incompetent to stand trail	a finding by a court-that as a result of a mental illness, defect, or disability-a defendant is unable to understand the nature and object of the proceeding against him or her or is unable to assist in the preparation of his or her own defense.
person's behavior, but will seldom excuse it. duress a condition under which one is forced to act against one's will, also called <i>compulsion</i> . (I.e.		al a famal a mh su as slal mat	infancy defense	a defense that claims that certain individuals should not be held criminally responsible for their	
	also called <i>compulsion</i> . (I.e.	guilty but mentally ill	a verdict, equivalent to finding of guilty, that establishes that		activities by virtue of their youth. Also called <i>immaturity defense</i> .
	mom robs bank when son has been kidnapped and bad guys threaten to kill him if she does not rob the bank).		"the defendant, although mentally ill, was sufficiently in possession of his faculties to be morally blameworthy for	insanity	an affirmative defense to a criminal charge; a social and legal term (rather than a medical one) that refers to "a condition
Durham	a test that states that an accused		his actions."		which renders the affected
rule	rule is not criminally responsible if his unlawful act was the product of mental disease or mental defect, also called the "product" test.	ignorance of fact	lack of knowledge of some fact relating to the situation at hand.		person unfit to enjoy liberty of action because of the unreli- ability of his behavior with
		ignorance of the law	a lack of knowledge of the law or of the existence of a law relevant to the situation at hand.		concomitant danger to himself and others." Also, a finding by a court of law.

By MSingleton

Not published yet. Last updated 25th February, 2025. Page 3 of 6. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

cheatography.com/msingleton/

Chapter 6 Excuse Defenses Cheat Sheet by MSingleton via cheatography.com/194889/cs/45765/

Vocabulary (cont)		Vocabulary (cont)		Vocabulary (cont)	
Insanity part of the 19 Defense and Prevention Reform mandated a co Act overhaul of the (IDRA) as it operated courts. The ID an affirmative proved by the clear and con and created a	part of the 1984 Crime Control and Prevention Act that mandated a comprehensive overhaul of the insanity defense as it operated in the federal courts. The IDRA made insanity an affirmative defense to be proved by the defendant by clear and convincing evidence and created a special verdict of not guilty by reason of insanity.	juvenile offender	a child who violates the criminal law or who commits a status offense. Also, a person subject to juvenile court proceedings because a statutorily defined event caused by the person was alleged to have occurred while the person was below the statutorily specified age limit of original jurisdiction of	not guilty by reason of insanity (NGRI)	the ple the ver in a cri the def the off at the t commi not hav to be h sible fo
involu- ntary intoxi- cation irresi-	intoxication that is not willful. a test for insanity that evaluates	mistake of fact	a juvenile court. a misunderstanding or misinterpretation of the law relevant to the situation at hand.	government conduct	based criterio that the on beh to bring
stibledefense claims that at the timeimpulsethe crime was committed, atestmental disease or disorderprevented the defendant from controlling his/her behavior in keeping with the requirements of the law.	mistake of law M'Naughten	a misunderstanding or misinterpretation of the law relevant to the situation at hand. a rule for determining	psycholegal error	the mis identify includin disorde	
	rule	insanity that asks whether the		is nece	

By MSingleton

cheatography.com/msingleton/

a	not guilty by reason of insanity (NGRI)	the plea of a defendant, or the verdict of a jury or judge in a criminal proceeding, that the defendant is not guilty of the offense charged because at the time the crime was committed, the defendant did not have the mental capacity to be held criminally respon- sible for his/her actions.
	outrageous government conduct	a kind of entrapment defense based on an objective criterion involving "the belief that the methods employed on behalf of the Government to bring about conviction cannot be countenanced."
	psycholegal error	the mistaken belief that if we identify a cause for conduct, including mental or physical disorders, then the conduct is necessarily excused.

Not published yet. Last updated 25th February, 2025. Page 4 of 6.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

Chapter 6 Excuse Defenses Cheat Sheet by MSingleton via cheatography.com/194889/cs/45765/

the failure to exercise ordinary

care to acquire knowledge of

the law or of facts that may result in criminal liability.

Cheatography

Vocabulary	Vocabulary	
substa- ntial capacity test	a test developed by the American Law Institute and embodied in the Model Penal Code that holds that "a person is not responsible for criminal conduct if at the time of such conduct as a result of mental disease or defect he lacks substantial capacity either to appreciate the criminality [wrongfulness] of his/her conduct or to conform his conduct to the requirements of the law.	culpable ignorance
syndrome	a complex of signs and symptoms presenting a clinical picture of a disease or disorder.	
syndro- me based defense	a defense predicated on, or substantially enhanced by, the acceptability of syndrome related claims.	
voluntary intoxi- cation	willful intoxication; intoxication that is the result of personal choice. Voluntary intoxication includes the voluntary ingestion, injection, or taking by any other means of any intoxi- cating liquor, drug, or other substance.	



By MSingleton

cheatography.com/msingleton/

Not published yet. Last updated 25th February, 2025. Page 5 of 6. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com