

## One-Way ANOVA

Between-Group Mean Square	Within-Group Mean Square	F-Ratio
1) (Subtract overall mean of pop from each group's mean) <sup>2</sup>	1) (subtract overall mean of pop from each group (sample) mean),	1) [(between group mean square) / (w/in-group mean square)]
2) (squared difference) (sample size)	2) then multiple each difference by (n-1)	2) if $\sim 1$ , then btwn-groups & w/in-groups variances similar, accept H0
3) compute degree of freedom (number of groups minus 1)	3) calculate the grand sum	3) if $> 1$ , then reject H0
4) calculate between-groups mean square = [(btwn-group variance) / (df)]	4) calculate the degrees of freedom total (N-n of groups)	5) calculate the w/in groups mean square = [(sum of squares) / (degrees of freedom total)]

- Analysis of Variance ( compares means between 3+ samples)  
Does not indicate which group(s) are different from which other groups (s)  
- Parametric test  
- Bonferroni post hoc test, reveals which specific means differed. Use if ANOVA was sig. using for pairwise comparison  
- It multiplies each of the significance levels from the LSD test by the number of tests performed. If this value is greater than 1, then a significance level of 1 is used.

## Chi-Square Test

1) calculate the expected frequency (E) = [(row total) (column total) / total sample N]	Standardized Residuals	Phi ( $\Phi$ )	Cramer's V
2) for each cell, find (difference between observed & expected counts) <sup>2</sup>	reveal what cell adds the most statistical value to the test.	to measure the strength of association of chi-square test	to measure the strength of association of chi-square test
3) divide square difference by expected count for each cell, then sum results		2x2 table	greater than 2x2 table
4) $df = [(n \text{ of rows} - 1) (n \text{ of columns} - 1)]$			
5) check X2 table for significance at @ 0.05 alpha level			

- **Dependent & Independent nominal/nominal or nominal/ordinal data**  
- H0= no relationship between variables; expected counts for each cells = observed counts  
- n is greater/equal to 20; no expected frequencies less/equal to 5 in 20% or more of the cells

## Fisher's Exact Test for Chi-Square

-Use when Chi-Square assumptions are violated (>20%)  
- Very small samples

## Spearman's Rank Correlation

1) Turn raw scores into ranks	Rho varies from -1 to +1
2) find $d^2 = (\text{difference between rankings})^2$	-1 (a perfect negative correlation; as X increases, y decreases)
3) add up all the data in d2 column to obtain sumd2	0 = no association
4) calculation spearman's rank correlation coefficient (rho) $rs = [1 - (6 * \text{sumd}^2) / (N^3 - N)]$ df= n-2	+1 (a perfect positive correlation; as X increases, Y increases)

- Measures of associate for two ordinal variables; whether a relationship exists, how strong it is, what is the direction/pattern of relationship) (what happens to one variable, happens to the other variable)  
- Nonparametric version of Pearson correlation coefficient  
- H0= no sig  
**independent = x ; dependent = y**

## Pearson's R Correlation Coefficient

**r = Rho** = measure of association (-1 to +1)  
assumes x and y is normally distr. & linearly related  
**(Pearson's r)<sup>2</sup>** = PRE stat (strength of predicting amount of variance in Y based on X)  
**r<sup>2</sup>** = % of variance in dependent (Y) explained by independent (X)  
usually **interval/ratio level data**

## Parametric vs. Non-parametric Tests

Parametric	Non-Parametric
interval or ratio data	nominal and/or ordinal data
one-way ANOVA	Distribution free
Pearson's R Correlation Coefficient	Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test for <b>Two Related Conditions</b>

Sponsored by **CrosswordCheats.com**  
Learn to solve cryptic crosswords!  
<http://crosswordcheats.com>

Not published yet.  
Last updated 14th December, 2017.  
Page 1 of 2.

## Parametric vs. Non-parametric Tests (cont)

### Mann-Whitney U Test for **Two Independent Conditions**

Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test for **Two Independent Conditions**

Chi-Square Test

Kruskal-Wallis

Spearman's Rank Correlation

## Wilcoxon Rank-Sum & Mann-Whitney U tests

nonparametric equivalent of independent-sample t-test

nominal and/or ordinal data

Tests two independent conditions

## Wilcoxon Signed-Rank

- Use this test for **two related conditions (paired, matched)**

- ordinal data

- nonparametric equivalent to the **dependent-sample t-test**

H0 = The two groups are identically distributed.

## Kruskal-Wallis

nonparametric equivalent of one-way ANOVA

nominal or ordinal data, but more than two independent samples

uses chi-square distribution

## Regression

Predicts **dependent (y)** based on value of **independent (x)**

**Regression Formula:** line that makes the sum of squares of the vertical distances of the data points from the line as small as possible

**Principle of least-squares** - finds estimates of parameters in a stat model based on observed data

**y = a + bx** a = y-axis; b = slope

## interval/ratio level data

assumes linear relationship

observes independent (x)

## Correlation

Tests for	Difference between (r) and (r) <sup>2</sup>	Assumptions
-----------	---	-------------

How well X predicts Y	r = Pearson's correlation coefficient = measure of association	For each independent (x), dependent (y) must be normal
-----------------------	--	--

how "tightly the predicted values fit regression line	r <sup>2</sup> = PRE stat (strength of predicting amount of variance in Y based on X)	Dependent variable variances same for all independent values (homoscedasticity)
---	---	---

to what degree X covaries with Y	r <sup>2</sup> = % of variance in dependent (Y) explained by independent (X)	Avoid predictions outside the observed values; beware extremes; relationships must be linear over all values.
----------------------------------	--	---

linear relationship, observes independent (X)

usually, **interval/ratio level data**