Logical Fallacies, Long Lost Art of Rhetoric I Cheat Sheet by Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007) via cheatography.com/35958/cs/11445/

Definition

Fallacy is the use of invalid or faulty reasoning

Some fallacies are committed intentionally to manipulate or persuade by deception, while others are committed unintentionally due to carelessness or ignorance

Aristotle was the first to systematize logical errors into a list, as being able to refute an opponent's thesis is one way of winning an argument

Richard Whately defines a fallacy broadly as, "any argument, or apparent argument, which professes to be decisive of the matter at hand, while in reality it is not"

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_fallacies

The first principle is that you must not fool yourself and you are the easiest person to fool, Richard P. Feynman

Types of Fallacies	
Formal S	An error in logic in the argument's form.
	Non Sequiturs
	E Propositional fallacies
	Quantification fallacies
	Syllogistic fallacies
Informal 🗞	Reasons other than structural, require examin-
	ation of the argument's content
	Faulty generalizations
	& Red herring fallacies
Conditional or questionable ⊙	Arguments disregard or confusion

Other systems of classification

cheatography.com/jorgejuan007/

The most famous are those of Francis Bacon and J. S. Mill Bacon divided fallacies into 4 Idola (Idols, False Appearances), summarize the kinds of mistakes the human intellect is prone. **Offendicula** of Roger Bacon Opus maius,J. S. Mill book of his Logic, Jeremy Bentham's Book of Fallacies (1824). Whateley's Logic, A. de Morgan, Formal Logic (1847) Sidgwick, Fallacies (1883)



By Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007)

Published 1st October, 2020. Last updated 25th March, 2022. Page 1 of 4. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

lindmap				
	Logical Fallacies	Conditional Informal Formal	or questionable Faulty generalizations Red herring Propositional fallacies Quantification fallacies Syllogistic fallacies	

Formal fallacies 🖏

Appeal to	Takes something for granted because it would probably
probab-	be the case Something can go wrong
ility	(premise). Therefore, something will go
	wrong (invalid conclusion)
Argument	Aka fallacy fallacy, assumes that if an argument is
from	fallacious, then the conclusion is false If ${\rm P}$, then ${\rm Q}.$
fallacy	P is a fallacious argument. Therefore, Q
	is false

Logical Fallacies, Long Lost Art of Rhetoric I Cheat Sheet by Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007) via cheatography.com/35958/cs/11445/

Formal fallacies \$\$ (cont)

Base	Making a probability judgment based on conditional probab-
rate	ilities, without taking into account the effect of prior probab-
fallacy	ilities Police officers have breathalyzers
	displaying false drunkenness in 5% of the
	cases the driver is sober. However, the
	breathalyzers never fail to detect a truly
	drunk person. One in a thousand drivers is
	driving drunk. The police officers stop a
	driver at random, and force the driver to
	take the test. The test is positive. You
	don't know anything else about him or her.
	How high is the probability he or she really
	is drunk? Many would answer as high as 0.95,
	but the correct probability is about 0.02.
	To find the correct answer, one should use
	Bayes's theorem

Formal fallacies \$\$ (cont)

Conjun-	Assumption that an outcome simultaneously satisfying
ction	multiple conditions is more probable than an outcome
fallacy	satisfying a single one of them Linda is 31 years
	old, single, outspoken, and very bright.
	She majored in philosophy. As a student,
	she was deeply concerned with issues of
	discrimination and social justice, and
	also participated in anti-nuclear demons-
	trations. Which is more probable? Linda is
	a bank teller. Linda is a bank teller and
	active in the feminist movement. The
	majority of those asked chose second
	option. However the probability of two
	events occurring together is always less
	than or equal to the probability of either
	one occurring alone
Masked-	Substitution of identical designators in a true statement
man	can lead to a false one Lois Lane believes that
fallacy	Superman can fly. Lois Lane does not
	believe that Clark Kent can fly. Therefore
	Superman and Clark Kent are not the same
	person
Propositio	nal fallacies
	tional fallows in an amount in lasis that as many mere as many and

A propositional fallacy is an error in logic that concerns compound propositions. For a compound proposition to be true, the truth values of its constituent parts must satisfy the relevant logical connectives and, or, not, only if, if and only if

By Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007)

Published 1st October, 2020. Last updated 25th March, 2022. Page 2 of 4. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

cheatography.com/jorgejuan007/

Logical Fallacies, Long Lost Art of Rhetoric I Cheat Sheet by Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007) via cheatography.com/35958/cs/11445/

Informal Fallacies (cont)

Formal fallacies \$\$ (cont)

Affirming a disjunct	Concluding that one disjunct of a logical disjunction must be false because the other disjunct is true Max
	is a mammal or Max is a cat. Max is a
	mammal. Therefore, Max is not a cat
Affirming	The antecedent is claimed to be true because the
the	consequent is true; if A, then B; B, therefore A ${\tt If}$
consequent	someone owns Fort Knox, then he is rich.
	Bill Gates is rich. Therefore, Bill
	Gates owns Fort Knox
Denying	The consequent is claimed to be false because the
the	antecedent is false; if A, then B; not A, therefore not B
antecedent	If you are a ski instructor, then you
	have a job. You are not a ski instru-
	ctor, Therefore, you have no job

Quantification fallacies

A quantification fallacy is an error in logic where the quantifiers of the premises are in contradiction to the quantifier of the conclusion

Existential	An argument that has a universal premise and a	
fallacy	particular conclusion Every unicorn definitely has a horn on its	
	forehead	

Informal Fallacies

Informal fallacies

Arguments that are fallacious for reasons other than structural (formal) flaws and usually require examination of the argument's content.

Appeal	argumentum ad lapidem Dismissing a claim as absurd
to the	without demonstrating proof for its absurdity ${\ensuremath{\mathbb{A}}}$:
stone	Infectious diseases are caused by microbes
	B: What a ridiculous idea! A: How so? B:
	It's obviously ridiculous

By Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007)

Published 1st October, 2020. Last updated 25th March, 2022. Page 3 of 4.

S

argumentum ad ignorantiam It asserts that a propos-Argument from ition is true because it has not yet been proven false (or vice versa) There may be seventy ignorance kazillion other worlds, but not one is known to have the moral advancement of the Earth, so we're still central to the Universe Argument Appeal to common sense "I cannot imagine how this could be true; therefore, it must be false." Argument from incredulity from ignorance Argument argumentum ad nauseam, argumentum ad infinitum from signifies that it has been discussed extensively until repetition nobody cares to discuss it anymore; sometimes confused with proof by assertion Argument argumentum ex silentio conclusion is based on the from silence absence of evidence, rather than the existence of evidence ad temperantiam false compromise, middle ground, Argument to fallacy of the mean. Assuming that the compromise moderation between two positions is always correct Argumentum See: by verbosity verbosium petitio principii providing what is essentially the Begging the question conclusion of the argument as a premise Opium induces sleep because it has a soporific quality A kind of circular reasoning Shifting the See: onus probandi I need not prove my claim, you burden of must prove it is false proof

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

cheatography.com/jorgejuan007/

Informal Fallacies (cont)

Logical Fallacies, Long Lost Art of Rhetoric I Cheat Sheet by Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007) via cheatography.com/35958/cs/11445/

Informal Fall	acies (cont)
Circular reasoning	<i>circulus in demonstrando</i> when the reasoner begins with what he or she is trying to end up with; sometimes called assuming the conclusion Whatever is less dense than water will float, because such objects won't sink in water
Circular cause and conseq- uence	The consequence of the phenomenon is claimed to be its root cause. Correlation does not imply causation
Continuum fallacy	Improperly rejecting a claim for being imprecise Fred is clean-shaven now. If a person has no beard, one more day of growth will not cause them to have a beard. Therefore Fred can never grow a beard
Correlati- ve-based fallacies	Correlation proves causation <i>post hoc ergo propter hoc</i> a faulty assumption that because there is a correlation between two variables that one caused the other. Suppressed correlative where a correlative is redefined so that one alternative is made impossible
Divine fallacy	Argument from incredulity. Because something is so incredible / amazing / ununderstandable, it must be the result of superior, divine, alien or paranormal agency
	Du large luce (icancius 007) Dublished 4ct Octob

Informal Fallacies (cont)

Double counting	Counting events or occurrences more than once in probabilistic reasoning, which leads to the sum of the probabilities of all cases exceeding unity
Equivo- cation	Misleading use of a term with more than one meaning <i>Ambiguous middle term</i> a common ambiguity in syllogisms in which the middle term is equivocated <i>Definitional retreat</i> changing the meaning of a word to deal with an objection raised against the original wording.

Version 1.0

March, 25 2022

By Jorge Juan (jorgejuan007)

Published 1st October, 2020. Last updated 25th March, 2022. Page 4 of 4. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

cheatography.com/jorgejuan007/