

Generic count # of elements in a collection

```
public final class
Algorithm {
    public static <T> int
countIf(Collection<T> c,
UnaryPredicate<T> p) {
    int count = 0;
    for (T elem : c)
        if
(p.test(elem))
            ++count;
    return count;
}
}

public interface
UnaryPredicate<T> {
    public boolean test(T
obj);
}

import java.util.*;
class OddPredicate
implements
UnaryPredicate<Integer> {
    public boolean
test(Integer i) { return
i % 2 != 0; }
}

public class Test {
    public static void
main(String[] args) {
        Collection<Integer>
> ci = Arrays.asList(1, 2,
3, 4);
        int count =
Algorithm.countIf(ci, new
OddPredicate());
        System.out.printl
n("Number of odd integers
= " + count);
    }
}
```

The program prints:
Number of odd integers = 2

compile? If not, why?

```
public class Singleton<T>
{
    public static T
getInstance() {
        if (instance ==
null)
            instance = new
Singleton<T>();
        return instance;
    }
    private static T
instance = null;
}
```

No. You cannot create a static field of the type parameter T.

Swap positions of two elements in array.

```
public final class
Algorithm {
    public static <T> void
swap(T[] a, int i, int j)
{
        T temp = a[i];
        a[i] = a[j];
        a[j] = temp;
    }
}
```

Method 2 find the maximal element of a list.

```
import java.util.*;
public final class
Algorithm {
    public static <T
extends Object &
Comparable<? super T>>
T max(List<?
extends T> list, int
begin, int end) {
        T maxElem =
list.get(begin);
```

Method 2 find the maximal element of a list. (cont)

```
        for (++begin;
begin < end; ++begin)
            if
(maxElem.compareTo(list.ge
t(begin)) < 0)
                maxElem =
list.get(begin);
        return maxElem;
    }
}
```

How invoke 2 find the first integer...

```
public static <T>
int findFirst(List<T>
list, int begin, int end,
UnaryPredicate<T> p)
---
import java.util.*;
public final class
Algorithm {
    public static <T>
int
findFirst(List<T> list,
int begin, int end,
UnaryPredicate<T> p) {
        for (; begin <
end; ++begin)
            if
(p.test(list.get(begin)))
                return
begin;
        return -1;
    }
    // x > 0 and y > 0
    public static int
gcd(int x, int y) {
        for (int r; (r =
x % y) != 0; x = y, y = r) {
        }
        return y;
    }
}
```

Compiler erases parameters, y use generics?

The Java compiler enforces tighter type checks on generic code at compile time.

Generics support programming types as parameters.

Generics enable you to implement generic algorithms.

Converted to after type erasure?

```
public class Pair {
    public Pair(Object
key, Object value) {
        this.key = key;
        this.value =
value;
    }
    public Object getKey()
{ return key; }
    public Object
getValue() { return value;
}
    public void
setKey(Object key) {
this.key = key; }
    public void
setValue(Object value) {
this.value = value; }
    private Object key;
    private Object value;
}
```

converted to after type erasure?

```
public static <T extends
Comparable<T>>
int
findFirstGreaterThan(T[]
at, T elem) {
    // ...
}
// becomes
```

converted to after type erasure? (cont)

```
public static int  
findFirstGreaterThan(Comparable[]  
at, Comparable elem) {  
    // ...  
}
```

compile? If not, why?

```
public static void print(List<?  
extends Number> list) {  
    for (Number n : list)  
        System.out.print(n + "  
");  
    System.out.println();  
}
```

Yes

Will the following class compile? If not, why?

```
public final class Algorithm {  
    public static <T> T max(T x,  
T y) {  
        return x > y ? x : y;  
    }  
}
```

No. The greater than (>) operator applies only to primitive numeric types.

Compile?

```
class Node<T> implements  
Comparable<T> {  
    public int compareTo(T obj)  
    { / ... / }  
}
```

Yes.

Compile

```
class Shape { / ... / }  
class Circle extends  
Shape { / ... / }  
class Rectangle extends  
Shape { / ... / }  
class Node<T> { / ... /  
}  
Node<Circle> nc = new  
Node<>();  
Node<Shape> ns = nc;
```

No. Because Node<Circle> is not a subtype of Node<Shape>.

