

Generic count # of elements in a collection

```
public final class Algorithm {
    public static <T> int countIf(Collection<T> c, UnaryPredicate<T> p) {
        int count = 0;
        for (T elem : c)
            if (p.test(elem))
                ++count;
        return count;
    }
}

public interface UnaryPredicate<T> {
    public boolean test(T obj);
}

import java.util.*;
class OddPredicate implements UnaryPredicate<Integer> {
    public boolean test(Integer i) { return i % 2 != 0; }
}

public class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Collection<Integer> ci = Arrays.asList(1, 2, 3, 4);
        int count = Algorithm.countIf(ci, new OddPredicate());
        System.out.println("Number of odd integers = " + count);
    }
}
```

The program prints:

Number of odd integers = 2

compile? If not, why?

```
public class Singleton<T> {
    public static T getInstance() {
        if (instance == null)
            instance = new Singleton<T>();
        return instance;
    }
    private static T instance = null;
}
```

No. You cannot create a static field of the type parameter T.

Swap positions of two elements in array.

```
public final class Algorithm {
    public static <T> void swap(T[] a, int i, int j) {
        T temp = a[i];
        a[i] = a[j];
        a[j] = temp;
    }
}
```

Method 2 find the maximal element of a list.

```
import java.util.*;
public final class Algorithm {
    public static <T extends Comparable<? super T>> T max(List<T> list, int begin, int end) {
        T maxElem = list.get(begin);
        for (int r; (r = x % y) != 0; x = y, y = r) {
            if (p.test(list.get(r)))
                return begin;
            if (r == -1)
                return -1;
            // x > 0 and y > 0
            public static int gcd(int x, int y) {
                for (int r; (r = x % y) != 0; x = y, y = r) {
                    if (r == -1)
                        return y;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Method 2 find the maximal element of a list. (cont)

```
for (++begin; begin < end; ++begin)
    if (maxElem.compareTo(list.get(begin)) < 0)
        maxElem = list.get(begin);
return maxElem;
}
```

How invoke 2 find the first integer...

```
public static <T> int findFirst(List<T> list, int begin, int end, UnaryPredicate<T> p) {
    for (int r; (r = x % y) != 0; x = y, y = r) {
        if (p.test(list.get(r)))
            return begin;
        if (r == -1)
            return -1;
        // x > 0 and y > 0
        public static int gcd(int x, int y) {
            for (int r; (r = x % y) != 0; x = y, y = r) {
                if (r == -1)
                    return y;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Compiler erases parameters, you use generics?

The Java compiler enforces tighter type checks on generic code at compile time.

Generics support programming types as parameters.

Generics enable you to implement generic algorithms.

Converted to after type erasure?

```
public class Pair {
    public Pair(Object key, Object value) {
        this.key = key;
        this.value = value;
    }
    public Object getKey() {
        return key;
    }
    public Object getValue() {
        return value;
    }
    public void setKey(Object key) {
        this.key = key;
    }
    public void setValue(Object value) {
        this.value = value;
    }
    private Object key;
    private Object value;
}
```

converted to after type erasure?

```
public static <T extends Comparable<T>> int findFirstGreater Than(T[] list, T elem) {
    // ...
}
// becomes
```



converted to after type erasure? (cont)

```
public static int  
findFirstGreaterThanOrEqual[] at, Comparable elem) {  
    // ...  
}
```

compile? If not, why?

```
public static void  
print(List<? extends Number>  
list) {  
    for (Number n : list)  
        System.out.print(n +  
" ");  
    System.out.println();  
}
```

Yes

Will the following class compile? If not, why?

```
public final class Algorithm  
{  
    public static <T> T  
max(T x, T y) {  
        return x > y ? x :  
y;  
    }  
}
```

No. The greater than (>) operator applies only to primitive numeric types.

Compile?

```
class Node<T> implements  
Comparable<T> {  
    public int compareTo(T  
obj) { / ... / }  
}
```

Yes.

Compile

```
class Shape { / ... / }  
class Circle extends  
Shape { / ... / }  
class Rectangle extends  
Shape { / ... / }  
class Node<T> { / ... / }  
Node<Circle> nc = new  
Node<>();  
Node<Shape> ns = nc;
```

No. Because Node<Circle> is not a subtype of Node<Shape>.



By **jimisanchez**
cheatography.com/jimisanchez/

Published 2nd May, 2016.
Last updated 2nd May, 2016.
Page 2 of 2.

Sponsored by **Readability-Score.com**
Measure your website readability!
<https://readability-score.com>