### Work Cheat Sheet by generallynonsensical via cheatography.com/209556/cs/45069/

Question	Quanti- tative	Intrepretation Guide	Reviewer Notes
1.1	Any confir- mation of guideline criteria is acceptable. Can state all four in notes or state all 4 criteria met (and provide examples)	Question 1.1 - The intent of question 1.1 is to verify the company has a written overall corporate commitment policy/statement/ health and safety policy. This question is asking for verification of general health and safety responsibilities, not a detailed specific listing of responsibilities at each level such as job descriptions. The three-bullet points stated in the instructions are required content for the policy, ensure the declaration of commitment to the health and safety that addresses physical, psychological, and social well- being of employees. Auditors need to be clear they are referring to the overarching corporate commitment/health and safety policy not the entire health and safety manual. Interviewees often confuse the two. The auditor also needs to quantify their response in the notes such s "4/4" criteria are met. It is acceptable in situations for employers such as School Boards or very large complex organizations, to have the policy approved by the Board or signed by the Chairman of the Board. All 4 criteria must be included.	* Exampl- e/details needed



### By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 1 of 10. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

1.5	Any confir-	Question 1.5 – This question is about specifics,	If Senior Manager and Manager responsibilities have been combined,
	mation of	not the general reference to responsibilities, as	100% may not be awarded. Managers in a senior-level position may
	guidelines that	asked for in 1.1. The company must have a list	possess many of the same responsibilities as a manager, though on a
	includes - the	of health and safety responsibilities for each	more strategic level. Senior-level managers possess the knowledge
	number of	level (Senior Manager, Manager, Supervisor,	and expertise to guide managers in their roles. Because this position
	levels where	Worker) within their HSMS. Do not combine	is a level up from the role of a manager, the level of responsibility
	H&S respon-	levels together. Health and safety responsib-	increases in a broader scope. In legislation supervisors are respon-
	sibilities are	ilities may be written in their job profiles, and	sible for workers under their supervision, not all employees within the
	identified	may be included as part of a written directive in	company. Further to that, it is a manager (employer) responsibility to
	(Senior	each element of the health and safety	ensure whom ever supervises the employees is competent and
	Managers,	management system. Read the instructions	understands their OHS duties, so it should be two very different roles.
	Managers,	carefully and comment on each level that	The auditor can give the company recognition if they identify more
	Supervisors or	applies. If one or more levels do not apply to	levels than the identified four in the guideline, but the question must
	Workers)**	the company, make sure to explain it in the	be scored on just the 4 levels
	unless a level	notes.	
	(s) does not		
	apply to the		
	employer		
	being audited.		

By generallynonsensical

cheatography.com/generallynonsensical/

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 2 of 10.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

#### Documentation (cont)

1.12

2	State	Question 1.12 - is to verify if management (senior	This
	number of	management., managers and supervisors) partic-	minu
	meeting	ipate in meetings where health and safety is	best
	minutes	discussed outside of committee meetings. The	men
	which verify	auditor will verify through documentation review if	man
	management	management levels are involved in regular health	disc
	participation	and safety discussions. The auditor could refer to	sam
		general health and safety meeting minutes, team	migł
		meeting minutes, a general assembly, whether	wou
		management leads tailgate/toolbox meetings, or any	mee
		other meetings where health and safety is a topic.	are

This is a H&S leadership question. Auditors will review meeting ninutes and identify if there was management participation. As a best practice however, auditors should be examining if different nembers of the leadership team (i.e. senior managers, nanagers, and supervisors) participate in meetings where H&S liscussions take place, or are you noticing it is consistently the same members of management participating in all meetings (you night want to make a SFI if this is identified), however points vould not be deducted for the question. Do not sample HSC neeting records for this question, HSC meetings and practices are measured in Element 4.

#### By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 3 of 10. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

### Work Cheat Sheet

# Cheatography

by generallynonsensical via cheatography.com/209556/cs/45069/

#### Documentation (cont)

2.1	Number of	Question 2.1 - In this element auditors need to have a detailed look at the entire formal	Look at your organization
	jobs	hazard assessment process, sampling is not acceptable. Question 2.1 to determine if there	chart. Is there a Hazard
	included in	is an inventory of job assignments, look for formal hazard assessment documents that	Assessment created for every
	formal	would match up with the organizational chart or staff listing. The auditor needs to be aware	position. Remember when
	hazard	there may be like positions that will share a formal hazard assessment. Example would be	building hazard assessments
	assess-	administrative positions may all be captured on one administrative hazard assessment. The	it is possible to have one
	ments	key is to ensure all positions included on the organizational chart have been included. This	hazard assessment which
	compared	should also include equipment as ""equipment operators"" may be identified as a position	covers several alike positions
	to the	with tasks. A quantitative measure must be included in your notes (i.e. formal hazard	(i.e. Administrative). The
	number of	assessments reviewed included for 34 of the 40 positions on the organizational chart and	Hazard Assessment should
	jobs listed	state specific on what is missing.	clearly however state all the
	in organi-		positions it applies to.
	zational		
	chart		
	and/or		
	staff		
	listing.		

By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 4 of 10. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

### Work Cheat Sheet

Page 5 of 10.

# Cheatography

by generallynonsensical via cheatography.com/209556/cs/45069/

https://readable.com

.2	Number of	"Question 2.2 is a dependent	cy question - read guidelines carefully to ensure	e a greater percentage is not	Examine job	
	jobs that	awarded in 2.2 than awarded	overall in 2.1. Review of all formal hazard asse	essments is required,	descriptions for	
	have	sampling is not acceptable in	this question. For each of the job assignments	included in the inventory	each job identi-	
	tasks	there needs to be a list of all common daily tasks. This does not mean the list needs to include every task				
	assigned	a person may be asked to do in a lifetime; common daily tasks are what we are looking for. Involves the				
	compared	identification of all equipment, machinery, work areas and work processes where employees may be				
	to the	performing a task. The intent is not to have a list of 100+ tasks rather to have a listing of common daily				
	number of	tasks performed by employees on a regular basis. Tasks that employees may be asked to do on an				
	completed	occasional/ rare basis should be dealt with through site-specific hazard assessments."			to the task	
	formal				portion of the	
	hazard				hazard assess-	
	assess-				ments.	
	ment.					
	By g	enerallynonsensical	Not published yet.	Sponsored by Readable.	com	
			Last updated 21st November, 2024.	Measure your website rea	adabilitv!	

#### Documentation (cont)

2.3	Number	Question 2.3 is also a dependency question – ensure a	"This is a two part question and you need to first determine if
А	of tasks	higher percentage is not awarded for identification of	health hazards are identified and then score question 2.3a based
&	that	either health or safety hazards than was awarded in 2.2.	on your sample size and positive findings, and then determine if
В	have	Auditors must provide good notes explaining what types	safety hazards are identified and then score question 2.3b in the
	hazards	of health hazards and what types of safety hazards have	same manner. You are examining the HA's to determine of there
	identifie-	been identified. This question will be scored as a	are examples from all categories (Physical, Chemical, Biological,
	d/c-	percentage for health hazards and a separate	Psychological and Ergonomic). Check to ensure that ""Workplace
	ompared	percentage for safety hazards. Neither of which can	Violence and Harassment"" and ""Working Alone"" are included as
	to the	exceed the percentage awarded in 2.2. The auditor	per legislation. Note: ergonomic type hazards must also be
	sample	needs to outline their sample size (which jobs/positions	considered in addition to the four listed in the guideline column, as
	size of	they chose to review).	per the AASP.
	tasks		
	chosen		
	for verifi-		
	cation.		



#### By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 6 of 10. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

#### Documentation (cont)

2.4	Number of H&S hazards that have been evaluated compared to the total number of H&S hazards chosen to be	Question 2.4 - This is a dependency question – ensure a higher percentage is not awarded then the average percentage of 2.3. Auditors need to keep an open mind when looking at hazard evaluation. There are many ways to evaluate the level of risk for each hazard, it is not the auditor's responsibility to tell the client they are wrong; if in fact they have evaluated each hazard, at minimum, using a two measure such as severity and likelihood. However, keep in mind the instru- ctions state ""each"" hazard identified, meaning each hazard must be independently evaluated. Do not evaluate hazards in groups. State the quantitative measure based on sample size in
	be sampled.	quantitative measure based on sample size in your notes.

In this question you need to not only identify how hazards are being evaluated (Frequency, Severity, Likelihood), but you need to describe how this is being done. If at least two measurement factors are used, state what the values for those factors are, and how the final risk rating is determined (added together, multiplied, averaged, etc.). Check to see that each hazard is evaluated separately (not group rated, unless they alike or the same hazards such as mould and spores). EXAMPLE: The company evaluates hazards using a matrix of Probability X Severity. Probability measures the likelihood of an occurrence of hazard pre-control and is measured on a scale of one to five with 1 being unlikely and 5 being certain to occur. Severity measures the type of injury likely sustained is measured on a scale of one to five with one being minor injury and five being a fatality. The probability total is then multiplied by the severity total to determine an overall risk (Tolerable, Moderate or High).



By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 7 of 10.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

### Work Cheat Sheet by generallynonsensical via cheatography.com/209556/cs/45069/

#### Documentation (cont

2.8	Number of	Question 2.8 - The guidelines for this question
	employees	are clear, not all employees require formal
	trained in	hazard assessment training, the key
	HA	employees involved in the development
	compared	process must be trained. Auditors should
	to the	reference the formal hazard assessment
	number of	documents to determine which employees
	employees	were involved in the development, then check
	leading the	training records to verify training. Leaders of
	HA	the hazard assessment process need to be
	process.	formally trained. As a general practice
		employers will train the HSC/HSR to take the
		leading roles.

Although formal training is preferred (i.e. in-house or third-party hazard assessment training), it is acceptable to award scoring if completion of the AASP's Module 1 – Guide to Develop a Health and Safety Management System (or an approved equivalent) can be verified for the individuals who led the employer's formal HAs. In-house training may also include content related to the company's site-specific hazard assessment process; however, this question is referring to training for formal hazard assessments only. If training is delivered in-house, auditor to verify the training content meets the intent of formal hazard assessment training. Consider verifying it contains hazard types/categories, the hierarchy of control, as well as training on the company's document/process and evaluation system, at a minimum.



By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 8 of 10. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

### Work Cheat Sheet by generallynonsensical via cheatography.com/209556/cs/45069/

### Cheatography

#### Documentation (cont)

2.9 Number of bullets verified, compared to the 6 bullets listed in guidelines. OR This can be confirmed within the note (either restating each bullet point or specifically stating ALL, then providing examples. NONE also accepted in lieue of 0/6 criteria Question 2.9- the auditor will be looking for a directive/policy that states the six criteria for a successful formal hazard assessment process. The predetermined frequency mentioned in the first bullet of the guidelines is meaning at least once every three years' minimum. The other five bullets are self-explanatory. The auditor will review documents outlining process/procedural changes and updated hazard assessment forms. There must be a policy/process written that includes all criteria to award points. Auditor must state the quantitative value in their notes (e.g. 4/6 bullets were identified therefore points cannot be awarded, it requires 100%)".

Read the guideline carefully, review the company's hazard assessment policy and/or process to ensure formal hazard assessments are required to be reviewed. Ensure each bullet point is addressed in your justification notes.

#### By generallynonsensical

Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 2024. Page 9 of 10.

#### Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

### Work Cheat Sheet

# Cheatography

by generallynonsensical via cheatography.com/209556/cs/45069/

Docu	Documentation (cont)				
2.11	11 Do not require a Question 2.11 - For this question the auditor will be looking for a written directive/policy and/or process and				
Α&	quantitative note	procedure, in regards to site-specific (field level) hazard assessments. A policy/directive states the company's expect-			
В	for this question.	ation and requirement; a process is the expected flow of events; a procedure is a written step-by-step method			
	Either the	required to accomplish the task. a) example could be a warehouse upgrade - same place new activities - renovations			
	company has a	in one area introduce new hazards requiring a site specific hazard assessment. Example - a) could be scored 1/1 but			
	process or not.	not n/a.			

Interviews			
Question	uestion Quantitative		Reviewer Notes
Observatio	าร		
Question G		antitative	Reviewer Notes
С	By generallynonsensical	Not published yet. Last updated 21st November, 20 Page 10 of 10.	24. Sponsored by <b>Readable.com</b> https://readable.com