Cheatography

Strategy in the Contemporary World - Baylis Cheat Sheet by enil via cheatography.com/168627/cs/35383/

What is S	trateg	ly?
Strategy		e of military force to achieve tical objectives
	mul	en choosing between tiple ways of acting, strategy hoosing the best way to act
Grand Strategy	nati	e and organisation of all ural resources to achieve tical objectives
Security	Fre valu	edom from threats to core les
Strategic	Theo	y
Strategic		Different methods for
theory		winning wars
Clausewit War and 4 contexts (essence war)	1	Killing and dying
		contest between armies, generals and states (competition mental and physical)
		War= Instrument of policy
		War= Social activity
Clausewit The Trinit (who controls w	у	People - passion

	Military - chance
	Government - reason (determines aims and means)
Clausewitz - Nature of War (under- standing enemy)	Centre of gravity - i. Enemy's army ii. Enemy's capital city iii. Principal ally iv. Leader vi. Public opinion

By enil

cheatography.com/enil/

Strategic Theory (cont)

Sualeyic me	
Clausewitz - Limited vs Unlimited War	Limited - territory or leverage for bargaining
	Unlimited - overthrow and be prepared with new government
Clausewitz - Calculus	Ends justify means
Clausewitz - Friction	i. Danger posed by enemy ii.Efforts required by own forcesiii. Difficult environment. vi.intelligence
Sun Tzu - Strategic preferance	War without blood
	Enemy's 1) strategy 2) alliances 3) army
Sun Tzu - Intell- igence	Matters only compared to enemy (think Ukraine vs Russia territory knowledge)
	Quality > quantity
Mao - Three step plan	Revolution and strategic defence
	Guerilla and strategic stalemate
	Strategic counter-offensive and defeat

Modern Warfare		
Napoleon	Europe from limited Warfare, to full mobilisation (conscription)	
Industria- lisation	Railways, steamships, telegraph - big armies	
	New weapons	
	Heavy casualties	

Modern Warfare (cont)

	Naval - steamships, transport	
Total	Total - Drawing on all	
War vs	resources, conscription,	
Absolute	economy towards war effort.	
War	Absolute war- fighting without	
	restrictions	
Nuclear	Ended total war era	
weapons		

Law and use of force		
Perceptio- n-Reality gap	International law is ignored vs international law usually obeyed	
	Military conflict usual vs exception	
	International law regulates force vs regulates all inter state aspects	
	Law is prohibiting vs facili- tating	
Why follow Intern- ational Law?	Legitimacy	
	Fear of coercion and conseq- uences, self-interests	
Ad Bellum - before war	Limit resort to military forces	
	UN Articles 2(3-4) Settle disputes by peaceful means and dont use force	
	Chapter 7 UN - Right to self- defence	

Not published yet. Last updated 10th November, 2022. Page 1 of 2. Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

Cheatography

Strategy in the Contemporary World - Baylis Cheat Sheet by enil via cheatography.com/168627/cs/35383/

Law ar	nd use	of force (cont)
	legal gicall	ecurity council decides if it is or not, allows force. Strate- y needed if you are a leader, es not backfire (Tony Blair)
In Bello - in war	Governs war Conduct	
	Gene of wa	va Convention 1949 - Victims r
	Hague Convention 1899 and 1907 - Conduct of warfare	
Techno	ology a	and War
Techno and Wa	0,	Reflects the priorities of that country, environment. Speed or defence?
Quanti quality	ty vs	Increase in speed, protec- tion, mobility= quality
		Increase in amounts of the weapons = quantity
New er warfare		Prioritised quality
		Specialisation of military equipment
		Commercial technology importance
Asymn trical Warfar		Better technology vs guerilla
		Motivation very important
Challer today	nges	News coverage
		Hard for military to find new people - possibilities outside military

Conventional Power and Contemporary Warfare

Geopolitics and Grand Strategy

Causes of War	
Human	Inclined towards violence
beings as	
causes	
Social	aggression= results of
psycho-	frustration
logists	
Wars from misc	onceptions
Group thinking	
Democratic	Democracies go to war, but
Peace theory	not against each other
Bargaining	Indivisible stakes
theory	

Nuclear Weapons	
Nuclear deterrence	Soviets would not attack NATO, if convinced that they would retaliate with nuclear
	Just because high probability that something wont happen doesnt mean that it wont (take North Korea using Nuclear Weapons, or Russia using Nuclear)
Nuclear ages	First Nuclear age 1945-1991, Second Nuclear Age now
	Now more states have nuclear, less nuclear weapons overall
Deterrence	Preventing another state from doing something - making them keep their passive stance

Denial - Ability to win battle, being strong enough and therefore deterring. Like having a fortress around a castle

Nuclear Weapons (cont)

Trip Wire - Being credible in "punishing" as a response Sometimes it is not obvious whether you are stronger than opponent (SK, Taiwan) NATO - trip wire. Will US risk for us? All about blowing up the conflict. If small conflict in Finland how make pay attention Non-proli-Officially NPT acknowledges feration of Russia, US, China, UK, France Weapons

Cyber Conflict and Great Powers

Nuclear

Treaty (NPT)

By enil

cheatography.com/enil/

Not published yet. Last updated 10th November, 2022. Page 2 of 2.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com