

by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Basics Non Rhetorical VS Rhetorical Argument tips and hints (cont) Reason Aims at Persuading → Rhetorical Fallacies us by showing that a ing/logicclaim is true/reasonable Premise examples Rhetoric Aims at persuading what is Anyone who has us by relying on our the seen the movie non logical physiounstated knows that it's logical traits premise terrible. So, you should know that it's → Use terrible. → Mention You have seen the movie Mention vs Use 1. ? 2. Mark is a unstated using the word not Mention human being 3. premise mentioning the Therefore mark is definition mortal Example CIA started to use ? = all human beings waterboarding to

are mortal

Anyone who has

seen the movie

knows that it's

terrible.

movie

terrible. So, you

should know that it's

You have seen the

Argument tips and hints

what is

unstated

premise

the

unstated premise	1. ? 2. Mark is a human being 3. Therefore mark is mortal
	? = all human beings are mortal
example of an invalid argument	1. Some Wisconsinites are rich 2. Some Wisconsinites are republicans Therefore, some republicans are rich
Can we conclude from the fact that an argument is not sound that it is not deductively valid?	No, from the fact that the argument is not sound we can conclude that either it is not valid or one of the premises is false.

Argument tips and hints (cont)	
Is it	No. This is not
possible	possible. For an
to have a	argument to be
sound	sound it must be (1)
argument	valid (if the
and a	premises are true
false	then the conclusion
conclu-	must be true) and
sion?	(2) it must have all
Why or	true premises. (1)
why not?	and (2) necessitate
	that a sound
	argument has a
	true conclusion.
difference	While the
between	conclusion of an
inductive	inductive argument
and	can only be likely, a
deductive	conclusion of a
	deductive argument
	is certain.

Non Rhetorical VS Rhetorical

boarding

refer to induced suffocation in the

using the word to

I don't see anything

wrong with water

→ Non Rhetorical

→ Rhetorical

refer to the act

late 70s

Use

Example

Non Wants a direct Rhetorical answer Rhetorical

trying to make a point rather than get an answer

Three types of Rhetorical Strategies

- → Content Directed
- → Subject Directed

By emmaadams

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 1 of 9.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Argument tips and hints (cont)

Can we No, from the fact conclude that the argument is from the not sound we can fact that conclude that either an it is not valid or one argument of the premises is is not false sound that it is not deductively valid?

Example P1: If I go to the of a Valid store, I will learn how to fly. P2: I argument went to the store. C: that So I learned how to contains fly. a false conclu-

sion.

fa city is in

of a Germany then it is

Sound in Europe. Cologne

argument is in Germany.

Therefore, it is in

Europe. This

argument is sound

because: i) it is

valid and ii) it's

premises are true.

you can have multiple conclusions for something

A valid Argument can have a false conclusion AND a false premise

(North America example)

Things that are not arguments

List of Claims

We are fifteen years into this new century. Fifteen years that dawned with terror touching our shores; that unfolded with a new generation fighting two long and costly wars: that saw a vicious recession spread across our nation and the world. It has been, and still is, a hard time for many. But tonight, we turn the page.

Condit An argument in which ionals the premises, if true, demonstrate or establish the conclusion.

Things that are not arguments (cont)

♣If you drink more, Statement Format: "if you'll have a - then - " hangover tomorrow. &If he has been stealing, then he deserves to be fired Casual Causal claims identify the cause Claims of something. They explain why something is the case or happens. Argument John must love kale

Argument John
must love kale
because he eats it
a lot. Casual Claim
Because John
loves kale he eats
it a lot.

Things that are not arguments (cont)

Step 1. Find the two events, states or facts that are related in the claim. Step 2. Determine which one of the events, states or facts is typically the cause and which one is typically the effect of the other one. Step 3. Find the premise indicator. Step4. If what comes after the premise indicator is the effect, the statement is an argument. If what comes after the premise indicator is the cause then the statement is a causal clai

C

By emmaadams

Published 23rd February, 2023.
Last updated 23rd February, 2023.
Page 2 of 9.

Sponsored by Readable.com

Measure your website readability!

https://readable.com



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

words I don't know

Mixed rhetorical strategies Rhetorical strategies that can be used both directly and indirectly. Examples of such strategies include hyperbole and rhetorical Analogy.

Parsimony Principles Principles
according to which
views that are
simpler and posit
fewer entities
should be
preferred to more
complex views.

words I don't know (cont)

Aristotle's three modes of persuasion

Ethos: Persuasion by the speaker's personal attributes (reputation, accomplishments, expertise, looks, charisma) Pathos: Persuasion by arousing emotions with a skillful use of rhetoric. Logos: Persuasion by rational arguments and reasoning.

Rhetorical Force

The rhetorical force of an expression is its ability or power to express and elicit emotional and other psychological responses in the audience. Expressions can have almost identical literal meanings but different rhetorical forces.

Content Directed Strategies

Aims at supporting or undermining an idea by presenting its content in a smart way that makes it more likely that we will accept or reject it.

Indirect Content Based Strategies

Weaseling

(A) Protect a statement from criticism by weakening it. While (B) hoping the audience will still believe the stronger version *common terms: up to, some, perhaps, possibly

use words to help:

Ex:

loose up to 37 pounds in 28 days speaker tells you

Downplaying

something is the case but cleverly uses language to implicitly undermine its significance

ex;

Ex: mary has a mere high school diploma Ex: karl is a "professor" of mathematics

Indirect Content Based Strategies (cont)

Loaded Question Asking a question to make you believe it is true (humans are more likely to believe something when it is implied) Why does the

ex

president hate immigrants?
Explicitly asks: why does the president hate immigrants?
Implies: the president hates immigrants
make an exagge-

Indirect Hyperbole

rated or non
exaggerated claim
which gives it
persuasive force

C

By emmaadams

cheatography.com/emmaadams/

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 3 of 9.



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Indirect Content Based Strategies (cont)

ex

Ex: I would kill myself before i eat at this restaurant again. You are saying something directly but you want people to believe what you are indirectly saying (I Don't want you to believe i want to kms I want you to believe that the food is bad.) OR She cooked so much food for her party; there was enough for an army!

Innuendo

says something explicitly but they also want you to believe something else that is NOT weaseling, downplaying, indirect hyperbole or preposition (more indirect - stressing certain words)

Indirect Content Based Strategies (cont)

Example

What do you think is being implied by the innuendo in this dialogue? In other words, how are things going for B? A: "How's it going?" B: " ...it's going." (Answer things are not going well)

Example

What do you think is being implied by the innuendo in this dialogue? Cicero: "[Marc Antony] is here now, in your hands. I am merely stating facts; I am not suggesting any particular course of action..." Brutus: "I will not take the course of action you're not suggesting." (answer killing Marc antony)

Direct Content Based Strategies

Euphemisms

Positive expression used in place of a negative expression with the same literal meaning in order to persuade the audience of a claim

The fear that the

new administr-

enhanced interr-

techniques is

unjustified.

ation might

reintroduce

ogation

Example

Direct Content Based Strategies (cont)

Direct Hyperbole one exaggerates a claim hoping that the audience will be more likely to accept the exaggerated claim saying something explicitly is what you want them to believe

Example

Cable news has gone round the bend: the only thing you hear on Fox News is right-wing rant, and the only thing you hear on MSNBC are leftwing rants.

Dysphemism

negative expression used in place of a positive expression with the same literal meaning in order to persuade the audience of a claim

Example

Its wrong to give tax breaks to the obscenely rich

By emmaadams

cheatography.com/emmaadams/

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 4 of 9.



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Direct Content Based Strategies (cont)

Proof support a claim by Surrogates suggesting that there is agreement about it or that there is agreement about it or that there is strong support for it when there is not much agreement and existence of

Example common phrases: widely accepted, recognized, it's obvious that, as we all know studies show

support is in dispute The Great Depression of the 1930s was needlessly prolonged by government policies now recognized in retrospect as foolish and irresponsible. OR Obamacare is a disaster; you know it; I know it; they know it.

Arguments and Main components

Series of statements that aim at proving rational reasons for believing in a claim

any sentence that claim is true or false

Rational Reasons that Reasons: show that a claim is true or more likely to be true

Non-Ra-Reasons that are causes, Reasons tional Reasons: that do not indicate the truth

statements that Premises: are given in support of the conclusion

> indicators: come before the premise Since, For, In view of. Because

Claim that the Conclusion argument supports Indicators: Thus,

Therefore, Consequently, Hence, So, This implies that

Reasoning

Deductive no new info in the conclusion reasoning: premise = true -> conclusion true

ех

Arguments and Main components (cont)

Validity: argument is valid when it is impossible for the premises to be true and the conclusions false

ех

Soundness: argument is valid if premises are

true

ех

Inductive: new information is in the conclusion If premise is true conclusion might

aim at supporting/undermining an idea by supporting/undermining the proponents of the idea or the group that the idea is about

Beliefs about a groups attributes that are often false, over-simplified, over-generalized or highly exaggerated

→ Non assenting to a Rhetorical

stereotype (i agree w the generalization/just commenting on the stereotype)

Stereotype (cont)

Examples

new yorkers are rude, Jews are successful in business, Illegal immigrants are criminals, Only tree huggers believe in climate change. Supporting a claim

Rhetorical about individuals by placing them within a stereotyped group to make a conclusion

By emmaadams

Published 23rd February, 2023.

Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 5 of 9.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Stereotype (cont)

Examples

I'm sure johns mom will be waiting outside she's a helicopter mom, Better hide the bottle before John arrives. He's irish! April is a study freak so I bet she got an A on the test

Not all generalizations are stereotypes

Some rules

Often have socio-political implications

these are part of the explanation for why stereotypes exists Most scientific generalizations are not stereotypes Often essentialize feature that they attribute to a group

You don't have to have knowledge on whether something is false - but if it looks like a scientific claim it is less likely to be a stereotype.

EXAMPLE: THE JAPANESE ARE MORE PRONE TO HAVE BOWEL CANCER

Ad Hominem

rejecting a persons claim or position by attacking them

→ Accusation of Inconsistency

Ad Hominem (cont)

You tell me it's dangerous to text when I'm driving but I have seen you doing it! (inconsistency between claim and behavior)
You tell me that it's dangerous to text while driving but just last week you were saying it isn't! (inconsistency between claim and behavior)

→ Questioning ones motivation

li: What do you think about
Betsy DeVos' idea that replacing
public schools with charter
schools and the voucher system
will help improve education?
Kyle: Of course it's a terrible
idea! Didn't you know that the
DeVos family has made a lot of
money by investing in K12, a
company that manages charter
schools?

→ Personal Attack

Mary: Dad says it's dangerous to stay out after 11pm? Jack: It's not. Dad's just a control

→ Refuting By Association

freak!

Using stereotype to disprove/refute

OR "Jerry

is just an

idiot"

Ad Hominem (cont)

Bob: You think banning guns will reduce gun violence? That sounds like what those left-wing university professors would say. Banning guns would actually make us less safe.

*Do not confuse a personal attack with an ad hominem that uses a personal

attack.

Just a personal attack: Mark is a liar!/ Personal attack ad hominem Jasmine: Mark says he didn't steal the car. Peter: He's a liar! Of course, he did.

Ad Hominem notes

What Makes It an Ad Hominem? When are motivations, inconsistencies, personal attributes or associations completely irrelevant to the evaluation of a claim and when are these factors relevant? Provide an example to explain your reasoning.

Ad Hominem notes (cont)

Motivations, inconsistencies, personal attributes or associations are relevant/irrelevant depending on the conclusion we are supposed to draw. When motivations, inconsistencies, personal attributes or associations are reasons to doubt the source of the claim or the claim itself, the ad hominem attack can be considered a reason to be cautious about accepting the claim.

Diagramming Arguments

Diagramming represents the logical structure of an argument (what supports what)

Step 1: find and label components of argument Use numbers (components are premises and conclusion)

Step 2: using arrows represent the rational components

C

By emmaadams

cheatography.com/emmaadams/

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 6 of 9.



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Line

ex

Perfec-

tionist

Diagramming Arguments (cont)

example Does Blank get support from the previous statements and does it provide support for any of the arguments

connecting what premises give support to the previous statements and what statements provide the conclusions in the argument

Points on Diagramming

Structure The structure of vs. shape the diagram matters not the shape (straight line vs weird pentagon - same thing)

Embedded Some complex arguments arguments are embedded in other arguments

One conclusion many arguments A complex argument can have multiple different arguments for a

single conclusion

One premise can One be a reason for premise multiple conclumany conclu-

sions

Premises can work co operation together

Points on Diagramming (cont)

Ambiguous

structures

Arguments can have ambiguous structures more than one way to get to a conclusion) diff arguments are divided by a line)

Opposing Some components can reasons be reasons against other components Some things don't support anything in the argument

Lines are only used for + when using two arguments for a conclusion - not a deep meaning

out)

Rhetorical Fallacies

claim is false by misrepresenting/distorting it to make it vulnerable to attack/easy to refute

Rhetorical Fallacies (cont)

Alex: "I believe that some of the money for the defense budget should be reallocated to education spending." Becca: "I can't believe that you want to cut the paycheck of the brave men and women who fight to defend our country!" since there is no one way to define a Drawing concept or line between concept & opposite it should not be used It's not clear how many people the

Rhetorical Fallacies (cont) "I don't think we should sign him up for football. The odds of him getting into the NFL are slim to none." False assumes you only Dilemma have two options You can either be ex straight edge or an addict, so you better not try any drugs or alcohol. Misplacing there is no proof the burden for claim -> we

should reject the

claim

of proof

Strawman

(these are hashed

overpopulation." assumes only available option is ideal or perfect

planet can support.

We should stop

worrying about

By emmaadams

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 7 of 9.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com



by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

ех

ex

Rhetorical Fallacies (cont)

ex

Obviously, Clinton was lying about her emails. Can you prove she wasn't? ·Since there is no proof that she wasn't lying, she was lying. ·Since there is no proof that guns shouldn't be outlawed they should be outlawed •Since there is no proof that ghosts don't exist, they do exist.

who has burden of proof

legal principles, change, inherent credibility, parsimony principles (in cases of controversy both parties have burden of proof)

the

begging offers a reason that is repacking the claim into a question question

Rhetorical Fallacies (cont)

The superiority of the Aryan race is proved by the inferiority of the other races, That God exists is proved by scripture because scripture is the word of God and thus

cannot be fa

THIS IS NOTHING (it i kept showing up) "I refuse to draw a line between your side of the room and mine. We should just respect each other's stuff!"

Rhetoric by Omission

Persuading someone to believe something by omitting necessary information

sometimes information can change bc of definition changes, think aids example; more people weren't getting aids than before the definition was more inclusive to all types of aids

Demagoguery

A figure who exploits prejudice, fear and ignorance among the public in order to achieve and further his/her goals

CORE Rhetorical Strategies

Demagoguery (cont)

Otherising Dividing people into in-groups and out-groups

and viewing or treating the members of the out-group as inherently different from the

members of the

and homosexuals

Nazis otherized jews, the Roma

in group

Demonizing Representing someone or

> group as inherently evil or wicked in

members of a

Nazi's demonized ex jews

character.

Scapeg-Blaming the members of the oating out group for the problems from which members of the in group suffer

> nazis scapegoat jews as responsible for economic

> > hardship and as the reason germany lost ww1

Demagoguery (cont)

Fear Mongering Invoking intense fear of the members of the out-group in the audience

Other Rhetorical strategies of demagogues

Personal insult and Ridicule:

often personally insult or ridicule those who disagree with them

as an alternative to deliberation and reasoning

Empty Promises:

Repeti-

tion:

making a promise just for the sake of their effect on the audience and without any regard for the practical possibility of what is promised or sometimes without the intention to deliver it. making the same

point over and over

in order to convince the audience to believe it

By emmaadams

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 8 of 9.

Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability! https://readable.com

Cheatography

Philosophy Midterm Cheat Sheet

by emmaadams via cheatography.com/178932/cs/37280/

Demagoguery (cont)

suspending belief:

avoidance of critical thinking or logic in examining something unreal or impossible in reality, such as a work of speculative fiction, in order to believe it for the sake of enjoyment

Suspending your belief on something because you have some type of bias, you suspend your belief be you can't make a full judgment

Charitable

When possible, assume the best interpretation

What the speaker

Example

says: Glen Beck said
President Obama's
foreign policy is
weak? But Glen
Beck is an idiot!
President Obama's
foreign policy is fine.
What the speaker
has in mind: Glen
Beck said President
Obama's foreign
policy is weak? But
Glen Beck is an idiot!
So, don't give much
weight to his opinion.

Charitable (cont)

Sometimes we do not fully articulate what we mean to say: If possible, assume the interpretation that does not attribute a fallacy to them.

Be Informative (cont)

Identify the problematic assumptions, Discuss the problematic assumptions, Discuss the premises of the valid deductive argument

Be constructive

When possible show how a problem can be fix or avoided or give others a chance to explain or improve their reasons

Help speaker Avoid ad hominem by offering a different conclusion

Avoid non-constructive response: just an ad
Glen Beck said hominem!!
President Obama's
foreign policy is
weak? But Glen
Beck is an idiot!

President Obama's foreign policy is fine.

Constructive response: I think that since John is known to be paranoid we shouldn't believe on the basis of his report that Mary is having an affair. But we can't conclude that Mary is not having an affair, can we?

Be Informative

When possible, make the problematic assumptions explicit and focus the discussion on those



By emmaadams

cheatography.com/emmaadams/

Published 23rd February, 2023. Last updated 23rd February, 2023. Page 9 of 9.