Political Parties		
Why Parties		
Definitions		
Dictionary	an organization of people who share the same views about the way power should be used in a country or society (through government, policy-making, etc.)	
Leon Epstein	Any group, however loosely oriented, seeking to elect office holders under the given label	
Giovanni Sartori	Any organization that presents candidates for elective offices and to legislative bodies	
Kenneth Janda	Those organizations which pursue the goal of placing their avowed representation in governmental positions	
What purpose do pa	arties serve?	
according to John Aldrich, political parties	help to solve the "social choice problem" for office-seeking politicians, help to solve the "collective action problem" for office-seeking politicians, and have been an appropriate mechanism for organizing and communicating with voters in a historical sense	
Historical Developm	ent of Parties	
First Party System:	"Proto-Party System" 1800-1824	
Parties	Jeffersonians (Jeffersonian, Republicans, Democratic-Republicans) and Federalists	
Competition	dominated by Jeffersonians	
Coalitions	s Jeffersonians do well with southerners and westerners and Federalists do well in New England	
Issues	scope of federal governing power, states' rights, scope of democratic participation	
Mobilization?	obilization? elite-level only	
Second Party Syste	m "Jacksonian Party System" 1828-1856	
Parties	Democratic and Whigs	
Competition	balanced and fierce	
Coalitions	Democrates do slightly better in southern and western states and Whigs do well in New England and Midwest	
	states' rights, immigration and national expansion, tariff	
Issues		
	extensive grass-roots mobilization	
Mobilization?	extensive grass-roots mobilization "Civil War Party System" 1860-1892	

cheatography.com/db329/

Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 1 of 15.

American Government Exam 2 Cheat Sheet by db329 via cheatography.com/163736/cs/34807/

Political Parties (cont)		
Compet-	Republicans dominate 1860-76, then balanced and fierce	
ition		
Coalition	Republicans dominate in North and West and Democrats dominate in South and urban areas	
Issues	"Waving the bloody flag", commercial/transportation regulation	
Mobili- zation	extensive grass-roots mobilization	
Fourth Party	v System: "System of '96" 1896-1928	
Parties	Democrats and Republicans	
Compet- ition	Republicans dominate, except for Wilson years (1912-1920)	
Coalition	Republicans dominate in North and West and Democrats dominate in South and urban areas	
Issues	Progressive "good government" reforms, economic regulation	
Mobili- zation?	de-mobilization as primaries, civil service reforms, ballot reforms occur	
Fifth Part S	vstem: "New Deal Party System" 1932-1964	
Parties	Democrats and Republicans	
Compet- ition	Democrats dominate	
Coalitions	democrates dominate South (white), urban areas with new immigrants and Republicans do well with northern WASPs	
Issues	government intervention in the economy	
Mobili- zation	mobilization of 2nd generation immigrants and women	
Sixth Party	System: "Post-New Deal Party System" 1968-?	
Parties	Democrats and Republicans	
Compet- ition	balanced and fierce	
Coalitions	Democrats dominate with middle and lower status whites, racial and ethnic minorities and Republicans do well with white southe- rners and evangelicals	
Issues	Civil Rights and government intervention in the economy	
Political Par	ties are Endogenous	
what constit	utes a party causes their behavior (coalitions dictate behavior), reflection of members	
What do par	ties provide voters and candidates?	
	shortcuts for candidates and voters (parties have reputations), cnadidates choose to side with a party because of ideological d its probability of winning	
Converge	Converge when parties are able to keep dissimilar candidates out	

By db329 cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 2 of 15.

Political Parties (cont)

Diverge

when parties can't keep dissimilar candidates out

Democrat Monopoly in TX

for 100 years only democratic governors in TX, tension regarding Liberal versus Conservative Democrats (poor interest likes farmers and workers like the Liberals and corporation interests like businesses and firms like the conservative), Ma and Pa Ferguson and the New Deal (racism and prohibition are bad so Liberals got control)

Decay of Democratic Party in TX

1952 and 1956 Democratic Party State Convention

Democrats backed Eisenhower (republican), Liberals won but the in fighting fractured and weakened party, conservative democrats became republicans

V.O. Key and Southern Democrats

strange political ideology, vote Democrat locally, vote Republican nationally, Republican power in TX relatively new (but Democratic conservatives look like republicans

Rise of Republican Party in TX

Legacy of Governor Edmund Davis (ex-union soldier, proponent of Lincoln) hurt Republican reputation because people hated Lincoln and the union, causing no Republican Government for 100+ years

Now, we haven't had a Democratic Governor for almost 30 years because Democratic party diverged (couldn't keep dissimilar interests out)

Political Parties—Are they strong or weak?

Party in Government		
Do members of Congress vote with their party most of the time?	YES!	
Does party membership matter?	YES! for agenda control, committee assignments, campaign contributions and fundraising, encouraging/discou- raging primary election challenges	
so party in government is STR	ONG	
Party in Electorate		
Does party structure politics and voting in a meaningful way for voters?	YES! measured through 2 simple survey questions: Direction (Do you think of yourself as a Republican or Democrat or neither?) and Intensity (Do you think of yourself as strong or weak Democrat/Republican? or Do you lean toward Republican or Democrat?)	
Thus, party in the electorate is STRONG		
Party Organization		
Do parties raise money and enlist votes?	YES!	
Do parties contact and mobilize voters?	YES!	
thus, party organization is strong		
Since party in government, electorate, and organization are STRONG		
Third Parties		
The Importance of third parties		

By db329 cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 3 of 15.

Political Parties (cont)			
1912 election as an example	Teddy Roosevelt runs as 3rd party (Bull Moose/Progressive Party including universal healthcare) and splits Republican vote with Taft so Wilson wins		
Rosenstone	e, Behr, and Lazarus "Third Parties in America"		
legal constr- aints	(1) most votes = win so shift to 2 party system so one candidate has majority (2) third parties have to jump through hoops to run (signatures, fine, etc.)		
handicaps	(1) little media attention (2) belief they won't win so it's a wasted vote (self-fulfilling prophecy) (3) seen as fringe/wasted vote (4) fear of major parties going after them		
Why do peo	ople vote for third parties?		
(1) major party deterioration (2) neglected issues (third party will address) (3) neglected preferences (4) unacceptable major party candidates (5) attractive minor party candidates			
Notable 3rd	l Part Candidates		
Strom Thurmond	in 1948 people were upset with Truman's Civil Rights push so Thurmonf and several other southern Democrats split with party and formed Dixiecrats (Democrats for States' Rights), Thurmond got on ballot in MS, AL, SC, LA and while he didn't win it quieted call for Civil Rights bill within Democrats		
George Wallace	nationally known for his 1963 attempt to block 2 black students from entering University of AL and in 1968 formed The American Independent Part appealing to those who felt that Civil Rights policies were hurting them and whites with lower socioeconomic status and the young, Wallace and the AIP forced Nixon to take a stronger conservative stance on race issues		
Ross Perot	Perot benefited from Americans displeasure with the major parties and the key to his success was that he was well financed (\$73 M campaign, gaining ballot access and TV time and participating in Presidential debate), he won 18.9% of popular vote but no electoral college votes taking votes from republicans and democrats (Clinton still would have won if Perot didn't run)		



By **db329** cheatography.com/db329/

Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 4 of 15.

The Media

Historical Development of U.S. Media Today we have an adversarial, argumentative, cynical, and negative media, BUT the media are largely independent, subject to their own economic interests, proffessional norms and standards. 1760-1850s: Fra of the Political Press - consist of vehicles for advocacy (e.g., federalist Papers/Anti-Federalist Papers, Jefferson v. Adams, Abolition Mvoement prior to the Civil War - these were the primary forms of political communication - they were controlled by the political parties with no pretense of objectivity 18502-1920s: Era of the Commercial Press - began to target mass audiences - coverage moved toward more sensationalist stories to drive sales (sex, violence, scandal) - "Yellow Journalism" Joseph Pulitzer (St. Louis Post-Dispath, New York World) and William Randolph Hearst (San Francisco Examiner and New York - 2 dominant figures Morning Journal) 1920s-1960s: Era of the Professional Press objectivity, discretion, "just the fact" (who, what, when, where, how, and why) new journalistic norms develop after WW1 political newspapers have full-time DC staffs, standards emerge with respect to coverage (what's in play and what's "out of bounds"), newspapers still have their favorites news develops and evolves 1960-today: Era of Adversarial press News media media discretion abused by JFK in Bay of Pigs, media suspicion mounts over LBJ and Vietnam, Nixon and Watergate, TV challenges newspapers for pre-eminence as the primary source of news, Watergate marks the end of the "professional press" began to change in and the beginning of the "adversarial press", political parties decline and the new media rise as king-makers in politics 1960s Characteristics of Contemporary US Media assume ulterior motives; always looking for "bigger" story Cynical and probing Negative negative stories are always more "newsworthy" than positive stories Process more interested in process (campaigns, back-room deals, and negotiations) than in outcomes oriented Empirical preference for "facts" to opinions and argumentation **Minimal Effects Thesis** argues that the media has little to no effect on public opinion

By db329 cheatography.com/db329/

Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 5 of 15.

The Media (cont)

we should reject this thesis on the basis of agenda setting, framing, and priming			
Agenda Setting			
tells you what to think about (what they cover out of everything possible indicates what's important, influences what public thinks is important; covered=important			
What gets on the agenda?			
Example: Disease and media coverage	it's about WHO dies not total death (disease that kill m	nore white men get more attention)	
what gets on the agenda mirrors pub	lic values		
Agenda Setting and public discourse	2		
Does what the public talk about closely mirror what the media talks about?	Concrete issues: YES!; Abstract Issues: NO!		
Correlation between traditional social media agenda?			
relationship between political discussion in traditional and social media is not directly causal	liscussion in traditional and social		
Priming			
Priming	Priming changes in the standards that people use to make political evaluations		
argues that media coverage influences what people believe and how they evaluate candidates (voters evaluate candidates based on issue media is covering			
by focusing on certain things as oppo	by focusing on certain things as opposed to others you change standards of evaluation		
The News Media			
Basic Facts and trends			
Broadcast News Weekly audiences	NBC (8.3M), ABC (9.4M), CBS (5.9M)		
Nightly Audience for Cable News Fox (3.62M), MSNBC (2.15M), CNN (1.79M)			
Talk Radio min weekly audiences	Rush Linbaugh (15.5M), Sean Lannity (15M), Marketp Dave Ramsey (14M), Morning Edition-NPR (13.1M), M Coast AM (10.5M), Mike Gallagher (8.5M), Delilah (8.3	Aark Levin (11M), Glenn Beck (10.5M), Coast to	
ewspapers Daily Circulation USA Today (1.6M), Wall St Journal (1M), NY Times (.48M), NY Post (.43M), LA Times (.42M), Washington Post (.25M), Star Tribune (.25M), Newsday (.25M), Chicago Tribune (.24M), Boston Glob (.23M)			
Websites (monthly visitors)Yahoo News (175M), Google News (150M), Huffington Post (110M), CNN (95M), NY Times (70 News (65M), NBC News (63M), Mail Online (53M), Washington Post (4.7M), The Guardian (42 Journal (40M), ABC News (36M)			
By db329 cheatography.com/db3	Published 24th October, 2022.	Sponsored by Readable.com Measure your website readability!	

cheatography.com/db329/

Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 6 of 15.

The Media (cont)

Online news sires are gaining on TV as main news service for Americans (natural and local news) with social media being another major source		
the public is getting more negative about the nature of press performance despite variation in news outlets		
the public trusts local news more than national news		
Bias?		
the public sees different outlets as leaning one way or another politically		
perception of news media l	ias and news media trust have polarized along partisan lines (Republican :) Fox, Democrats :) CNN)	
The case for "conser- vative bias"	Most news media owned by corporate interests, who prefer conservative candidates and public policies and these controlling interests keep certain issues off the agenda, talk radio and Fox News slant strongly to the right	
The case for "liberal bias"	Most news reporters and journalists are personally liberal, some empirical evidence shows that conservative candidates and policy makers receive worse coverage, newspapers and mainstream media slant strongly to the left	
What does the data say about ideological bias?	some evidence of favoring liberals BUT main biases are not ideological	
Main Sources of Media Bias	Professional (rely on professionals who know about issues they cover), source reliance (protect sources as they can use them again), selection (prefer immediate, sensationalist, and sexy stories), pack journalism (pressure to write about what others are covering)	
Media Coverage of Campa	igns	
contemporary campaigns are covered with an emphasis on	the horse race (who's ahead and who's behind), personalities, conflict, scandals, gaffes, negativity (one side attacking another)	
Framing		
framing	the process by which a source defines the essential problem underlying a particular social or political issues	
framing v. persuasion	framing: how to think about something pointing out what is important to consider; persuasion: influencing someone's opinion on whether something is good or bad	
Calculation of Attitudes		
A=∑v•w	v=value of an attribute on a specific dimension; w= subjective weight og that belief on a specific dimension; A=attitude	
Du dh220	Dublished 24th Osteber 2022	

By db329 cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 7 of 15.

The Media (cont)

framing affects weight (changing weight of importance), persuasion affects value

Conflict frame and public opinion (Combative politics)

Conflict Frame

a frame with a narrative structure that presents actors as polarized focus (focus on which side is winning or losing and often includes language related to war, competition, and games)

the inability of legislators to reach common ground becomes seen less as a discussion of facts of the policy and more about a politicians' political game causing debate to be thought of as ridiculous and disgusting not productive and healthy

as debate drags on and reporters continue to focus on the conflict, the public begins to associate the policy with the ugliness of the process, which in turn leads to opposition to the policy

Causal Process leading to increased policy opposition	policy debat -> news focuse on process/debate/conflict -> negative public sentiment toward process -> increased policy opposition -> policy debate (and repeats)
other "generic" or "- journalistic" frames	economic (emphasis on profit and loss), powerlessness (describes groups as helpless in the face of greater forces), human impact (emphasis on describing individuals and groups likely affected by an issue), morality (contains indirect references to moral and cultural values)
Why do journalist choose the combative politics frame?	"if it bleeds, it leads" (people like conflict), soft news vs. hard news (people like soft news because it's easy to digest and hard news has complex arguments), objectivity (just say what people are doing = objective appearance), running story (continue to tell story every day for a long period of time)

Public Opinion

Defining Public Opinion

from V.O. Key

. Key those opinions held by private persons which governments find it prudent to heed

Public Opinion and Democracy

dor success of democracy, we mist be aware of public opinion to have a more representative democracy

The Problems of Multiple Principals

There are lots of different opinions so difficult to articulate clear will of the people

It's hard to translate opinion into action

By db329

People aren't informed so it's hard to tell what people want

cheatography.com/db329/

Sources of Public Opinion

political socialization

result of all the processes by which people form their beliefs and values in their homes, schools, churches, communities, and workplaces



Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 8 of 15.

American Government Exam 2 Cheat Sheet by db329 via cheatography.com/163736/cs/34807/

Public Opinion (cont)		
ONGOING and can change with life experiences		
kids vote the same way as parents		
what you are exposed to affects how you view the world		
Education and Public Opinion		
political efficacy	the belief that one can make a difference in politics by expressing an opinion or action politically (education builds this)	
citizen duty	the belief that it is a citizen's duty to be informed and participate in politics (education increases this)	
Religion as a Source of Pu	iblic Opinion	
as a socializing agent, David C. Leege (1993)	they help you understand how to view and deal with the world	
Kenneth Wald's Causes of	f Religious Intervention in Politics	
Clifford Geertz on creed	Both what a people prizes and what it fears and hates are depicted in this worldview, symbolized in its religion expresses in the whole quality of life (helps people understand how to behave in secular activity)	
Social Culture	develop shared outlook because similar experiences	
Religion as Culture provides	identity (who you/group is), Norms (what to do), Boundary maintenance (what not to do)	
Political Knowledge and Po	ublic Opinion	
Delli, Carpini, and Keeter		
functioning democracy nee	eds well informed citizens	
if citizens are not well-infor	med they can't effectively articulate their best interests	
older and more educated p	people are better informed	
Heuristics		
Lupia and McCubbins		
mental shortcuts that allow individuals to make decisions without a great deal of information		
"concepts such as reputation, party, or ideology are useful heuristics only if they convey information about knowledge and trust"		
Hard and Easy Issues		
Carmines and Stinson		
hard issues	voting or policy opinion is the result of a sophisticated decision calculus (you have to think really hard to come to a conclusion)	



By **db329** cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 9 of 15.

Public Opinion (cont)			
easy issues	issues that are so ingrained over a long periods of time it structures voters "gut responses" to candidates and political parties (symbolic > technical, policy ends>means, long on political agenda)		
Origins of Political Opinions	and Attitudes		
Core Concepts of Social Lea	arning Theory (SLT)		
Instrumental motivation	people are rational actors seeking to maximize their utilities		
Reinforcement	encouraging/increasing a behavior; can be positive (adding something) or negative (taking something away)		
Punishment	discouraging/decreasing a behavior; can be positive (adding something) or negative (taking something away)		
Generalization	attempting to extrapolate from a previous experience to another related experience		
Discrimination	learning that not all apparently similar situations are identical		
Conventional Wisdom about	t Public Opinion		
What we assumed to be true	Americans are interested, engaged, and attentive to politics and public affairs, Americans know the basic facts concerning American politics, Americans listen to public officials and candidates, understand their issue and policy positions, and hold them accountable for their performance		
Reasons we believe the conventional wisdom was true	election results suggest rationality, high levels of literacy and educational attainment, substantial campaign communication and outreach		
What early polls told us			
George Gallup, Lou Harris, and other pioneers in the science of polling, discov- ered	Americans don't know very much about politics, Americans are not very interested in politics, Americans rely on broad and general attitudes and predispositions to make sense of politics		
examples	74% can name the VP, 70% can name their mayor, 58% know constitutionality is decided by the Supreme Court, 55% can name at least one senator, 40% can name their congressional representative, 34% can name the Secretary of State, 25% can name the speaker of the House, 8% can name the Chief Justice of the US		
Philip Converse and the Nat	ure and Origins of Mass Attitudes		

Philip Converse and the Nature and Origins of Mass Attitudes

 By db329
 Published 24th October, 2022.
 Sponsored by Readable.com

 cheatography.com/db329/
 Last updated 23rd October, 2022.
 Measure your website readability!

 Page 10 of 15.
 https://readable.com

Public Opinion (cont)

Main Argument			
most people do	o not have a full set of coherent politicla opinions or beliefs nor do they even know wh	at ideology is	
low levels of ide	leological constraint lead to low levels of conceptualization and low levels of issue con	sistency	
Levels of conce	reptualization		
ideologues	deologues rely on abstract ideological concepts to make judgements about political objects (with ideologues represent 15% of population		
near-ideo- logues			
group-interest	evaluate political objects with respect to their treatment of particular groups (with na	ature of the times represents 60% of voters	
nature of the times			
no issue content	focus on personalities or family traditions without any evidence of political thinking ((represents 25% of voters)	
Issue Consiste	ancy		
Across Time	people are not likely to have the same opinion on an issue at two different points in time		
Across Issue Domain	knowing what an individual American thinks about one issue doesn't really tell you much about what they might think about another issue (take away: people flip flop opinions on issues)		
Criticisms			
-	lata come from a quiescent time in American politics (the 1950s). Ideology depends or 1960s and 1970s	n political issues and context, which is mo	
Relied on dicho	otomous scales ("yes" or "no"), more complex response options (1-7, for example) rev	veal greater constraint	
How we measu	ure public opinion		
man-in-street ir	nterviews		
focus groups			
non-scientific p	polls		
Probability San	mples		
key terms	population, sample, representativeness		

cheatography.com/db329/

Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 11 of 15.

Public Opinion (cont)		
sources of polling error	measurable error (sample size and response bias/response rates, which is approx. 10% and low response rates are a problem if the peole who choose to complete the interview are systematically different form those who decline) and unmeasurable error (question wording, response options, question order, interviewer effects)	
Social Welfare	Attitudes	
social welfare issues include basic questions of the appropriate level of taxation and spending as well as support for funding programs on things like education, the environment, anti-poverty programs, energy, etc.		
generally, Ame	ericans are LIBERAL on social welfare issues	
Social Issue A	ttitudes	
social issues in	nclude questions of religion, family, values, and personal responsibility	
generally Americans are CONSERVATIVE on social issues		
Foreign Policy	Attitudes	
Is opinion liber	ral or conservative?	
it's neither		
conservatives	are not always "hawks" and Liberals are not always "doves"	
foreign policy	opinions seem to be affected by who is in office (party) and broader circumstances (context)	
Things to know	N	
"Rally around	the flag" - Americans tend to support the president and the commitment of troops to a foreign war once boots hit the ground	
support for the	e war almost never increases over time	
opposition to v	var is disproportionally affected by "early" casualties	
Political Cultur	res	
collection of be	eliefs and values about how the government should operate	
Values		
Donald R. Kinder	conception of desirable, not something desired, they are motivating, lead us to take particular positions on social issues, help us to evaluate and judge/to heap praise and fix blame on ourselves and others	
American Political Cultures		
Indivi- dualism	individual versus government responsibility to provide for themselves, both blacks and whites support this	
Equality	nobody is inherently superior, everyone has equal opportunities, blacks are more supportive of egalitarianism	
Limited Government	weak central government and limits on power, large gap between whites and minorities as whites like limited government	



By **db329** cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 12 of 15.

Public Opinion (cont) **Conspiracy Theories** Oliver and Wood (2014) locate source of unusual social political phenomenon in unseen, intentional and malevolent forces political events interpreted as struggle between good and evil mainstream accounts of political events are an attempt to distract the public from a hidden source of power Hofstadter (1964) when something bad happens someone is behind it allowing it to happen Who believes? almost all Americans know of some conspiracy theory (55% agreed with at least on general conspiracy while 45% believed in at least one medical conspiracy) not necessarily mental illness, it falls along ideological lines and is widespread and consistent people with a propensity to attribute the source of unexplained or extraordinary events to unseen, intentional forces are more likely to believe people with an attraction toward melodramatic narratives that interpret history relative to universal struggles between good and evil are more likely to believe social consequences increased feelings of powerlessness, decrease likelihood of engaging in certain behaviors TX and Conspiracy Theories prominant anti-gov conspiracies during Obama administration **Difficulty Correcting Misinformation** Nyhan (2010) and Nyhan Misinformation and conspiracy theories are difficult to correct, highly polarized elites, ideological consistency, and and Reiffer (2010) attempts to correct misinformation can further ingrain them **Turnout and Participation Overview of Political Participation** Many Americans engage in simple participatory acts, but very few engage in more demanding activity statistics 45% tried to persuade others about how to vote 18% wore a button or put a bumper sticker on their car 13% gave money to a political party or campaign 9% attended a political meeting 4% worked for a political party or campaign Turnout in the US Basic Facts 1. US turnout is low compared to other countries 2. turnout has varied over time (declined from 1960-1996 but increased from 2000-2020) 3. Turnout decreases in midterm elections, increases in presidential elections ("saw-tooth" pattern) 4. Political, demographic factors affect turnout rates 5. TX has lower turnout compared to other states

By **db329**

cheatography.com/db329/

Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 13 of 15.

Turnout and Participation (cont)

6. higher income, more education, married, older (55-74), white or black, and female are all demographics that are more likely to turnout What affects turnout? Institutional factors (tend toward lower turnout) 1. registration requirement 2. timing of elections (Tues in Nov during work hours) 3. frequency of elections (we have a lot) 4. location/convenience of polling places 5. Complexity of ballot Psychological Factors (trend toward higher turnout) 1. Political efficacy 2. Interest/Engagement 3. Partisanship Paradox of turnout-Why did turnout decrease across the 1960s-1990s?* 1. education levels increased dramatically 2. Civil Rights legislation ended Jim Crow laws in the South 3. Registration requirements were eased (Motor Voter Laws, Same-Day registration) 4. Convenience Voting increased But turnout still decreased when ostensibly they should increase, why? Answer: Parties did not contact and mobilize voters as they had done in previous eras. Increased party and candidate contacting from 2000-2008 increased turnout substantially Calculus of Voting from Downs 1957 R=probability that the voter will turn out, if R>0 the voter will turnout; p = probability of vote "mattering"; B = "utility" benefit of -> R=pB-C voting-differential benefit of one candidate winning over the other; C = cost of voting Cost of voting lines and TIME and effort the probability of your vote mattering is functionally zero so the costs will always be greater than the benefits Voting is illogical from riker and R=pB-C+D, where D=citizen duty, goodwill feeling, psychological and civic benefit of voting Ordeshook (1968) Voting Rights 15th Amendment voting rights to African-American men 19th Amendment women's suffrage (previously allowed in some states but this allows it everywhere and in all elections) (1920) 23rd Amendment DC gets 3 electors in the electoral college 24th Amendment no poll taxes allowed 26th Amendment voting age of 18 1965 Voting Right Act



By db329 cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 14 of 15.

Turnout and Participation (cont)

Barriers to Registration

- poll taxes, literacy tests, grandfather clause, intimidation

- blacks had to take extensive test on the constitution that even a constitutional law student couldn't pass

- the VRA of 1965 made these barriers illegal

Vote Dilution

scattering minorities across districts so the majority can outweigh them or putting them all in 1-2 districts to allow other districts to outweigh them

Shelby v. Holder

preclearance: 1965 VRA states or county with a history of infringing upon the vote of minority groups must receive preclearance from Justice Section 5 Dept. before making changes to voting laws

in a Supreme Court 5-4 ruling Section 4(b) was ruled unconstitutional as the coverage formula was too old and it was a burden on federalism and states rights, thus, section 5 is unenforceable

John Lewis VRA

1. Modernize the VRA's formule determining ehich states and localities have a pattern of discrimination

2. Ensuring the last-minute voting changes do not adversely affect voters by requiring officials to publicly announce all voting changes at least 180 days before an election

3. expanding the government's authority to send federal observers to any jurisdiction where there may be a substantial risk of discrimination at the polls on Election Day or during an early voting period

passed in the House in 2021 but stalled in the senate

Felony Disenfranchisement

if you're convicted of a crime you can't vote for a certain period of time (perhaps a lifetime)

Post-Reconstruction

Most stringent criminal disenfranchisement laws were created in southern states after reconstruction

1985 Hunter v Underwood

Supreme Court invalidated provision in AL State Constitution prohibiting all persons convicted of a crime of "moral turpitude" from registering to vote

Court ruled provision violated 14th Amendment because it was motivated by intent to racially discriminate proven by the 1901 AL Constitutional convention minutes

There continues to be challenges regarding felony disenfranchisement today



By db329 cheatography.com/db329/ Published 24th October, 2022. Last updated 23rd October, 2022. Page 15 of 15.